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Abstract
INTRODUCTION; Cognitive dysfunctions of schizophrenia predict daily living problems in patients, and psychiatric nurses should consider neurocognitive abilities of schizophrenic patients. However, development of nursing care considering their neurocognitive function is remains preliminary. To facilitate the development, clarifying the methodology of recent studies is required.
AIM; To clarify the methodology of the study of nursing care considering neurocognitive functions of schizophrenic patients and to obtain suggestions for further studies.
METHOD; Electronic databases were searched using key terms.
RESULTS; Nine articles were eligible. Seven articles discussed enhancing neurocognitive functions and only investigated inpatients. The measurement methods used to determine neurocognitive functions varied among the nine articles. Some articles introduced video games or dedicated rooms, while some used structured methods as nursing intervention.
DISCUSSION; Future studies are required to use methods that measure several neurocognitive domains, examine intervention efficacy in outpatients and first-episode patients and develop feasible interventional methods in clinical settings.
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1. Introduction
Schizophrenia is a severe mental disorder that typically has an onset during early adolescence and usually has a significant deleterious effect on daily living. This condition is associated with positive symptoms (i.e. hallucinations and delusions) and negative symptoms (i.e. hypobulia and autism). Recently, it was reported that patients with schizophrenia had a decline in their cognitive functioning (Vohringer PA, et al, 2013). Cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia has been the target for medical interventions (Kroken RA, et al, 2014) because cognitive dysfunctions are separate from other symptoms of schizophrenia (Ventura J. et al, 2010) and can strongly predict outcomes (Oie M, et al, 2011). Moreover, cognitive dysfunction predicts any disabilities of daily living (Lipskaya L, et al, 2011); therefore, psychiatric nursing in schizophrenia should focus on cognitive functioning (Lee H, & Schepp KG, 2013).

Previous systematic reviews that have examined the efficacy of nursing care in patients with schizophrenia have been published. Stanton & Happell (2014) reported the results of a systematic
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review that examined the effects of exercise intervention on inpatients with depression, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Bradshaw et al (2005), who had examined the effects of healthy living interventions on patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, reported that the healthy interventions in their eligible studies had been divided into four types: smoking cessation, weight management, exercise and nutritional education. Hegedus & Kozel. (2014) reported regarding a systematic review that examined the efficacy of adherence therapy in patients with schizophrenia. Zou et al (2013) conducted a meta-analysis that examined the importance of self-management education intervention in patients with schizophrenia. However, no literature review that examined nursing care that focused on neurocognitive functions of patients with schizophrenia has been conducted, suggesting that there have been few of these types of studies.

To facilitate the development of nursing care that considered neurocognitive functions in patients with schizophrenia, the purpose of this literature review was to assess the trends and methodologies of nursing care research in this area; furthermore, the study aimed to identify valuable suggestions for a further study.

2. Purpose

The purpose of this review is to clarify the methodology of the study of nursing care considering neurocognitive functions of schizophrenic patients and to obtain suggestions for further studies.

3. Method and material

3.1 Date collection

Electronic databases (Pub Med, CINAHL, Cochrane Library and Japanese Central Review of Medicine) were searched. The search terms used were (schizophrenia) AND (neurocogni* OR cogniti* OR neuropsychology* test) AND (nurs*). The inclusion criteria for this review were articles that investigated or planned to investigate the neurocognitive functions of patients with schizophrenia by using objective tools, and articles that examined or planned to examine nursing care for patients with schizophrenia or articles that were written in Japanese or English. The articles on cognitive behaviour therapy and review articles were excluded. The search period was set until 7 June 2015 in Pub Med, from 1 January 1983 to 7 June 2015 in Japanese Central Review of Medicine and from 1 January 1980 to 11 June 2015 in CINAHL. Moreover, the reference lists of eligible articles were reviewed to identify additional eligible articles. While screening, articles were reviewed and abstraction sheets were introduced to note the specified inclusion criteria for reducing selection bias.

3.2 Date analysis

Because this review aimed to assess the trends and methodology of nursing research with respect to nursing care considering patients with schizophrenia, meta-analysis was not introduced in this review. Therefore, eligible articles were examined on the basis of the following four aspects of the study: (1) aim, (2) subjects, (3) tools used for measuring neurocognitive functions and (4) introduction of the interventional nursing care methods.

4. Results

The electronic databases identified 411 articles. If the titles or abstracts of those articles indicated that the study was regarding nursing care considering neurocognitive functions for patients with schizophrenia, full-text articles were reviewed. Of 20 articles, 11 were excluded for the following reason: four articles did not measure neurocognitive function, four were not interventional studies, and one did not have a patient with schizophrenia as the subject. After screening these articles, nine were included (Figure 1). While sorting the articles with respect to
article type, six were interventional studies, two covered the study protocols and one was a case study. The nine articles were examined on the basis of these four aspects.

4.1 Aim of eligible articles

Seven articles were designed to enhance the neurocognitive functions of the patients (Table 1). One article aimed to assist the patient in being compliant with the paint consistently external medications by nursing care considering a patients’ level of neurocognitive functions. One article examined the effect of cognitive adaptation training (CAT) on everyday functioning in patients.

4.2 Subjects of eligible articles

While sorting articles with respect to stages, eight articles evaluated patients who were in the chronic phase of the disease or who had no acute symptoms (Table 1). One article evaluated patients during their first episode of the disease.

While sorting articles with regard to the site of treatment, seven articles evaluated inpatients and two evaluated outpatients.

4.3 Tools for measuring neurocognitive functions in eligible articles

Each eligible article utilized varying tools to measure neurocognitive functions (Table 1). Because cognitive functions could comprise general cognitive function and neurocognitive domains, the tools in eligible articles were divided into two groups. Three articles introduced tools for measuring general cognitive functions (mini-mental state examination or Hasegawa’s dementia scale-revision). Six articles introduced the tools for measuring neurocognitive domains (trail making test, continuous performance test or N-back task). Of these six articles, only two articles utilized neurocognitive test batteries (Cogstate schizophrenia battery or CogHealth).
Table 1 The summary of eligible papers about study design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Study design</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Tool measuring psychometric symptoms</th>
<th>Tool measuring neurocognitive functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dang et al (2014) Intervventional study</td>
<td>To evaluate the effects of an iPad-assisted cognitive training on working memory in a group of male, first-episode patients with schizophrenia.</td>
<td>A total of 17 male first-episode inpatients from the inpatient unit at the Shanghai Mental Health Center and Tongde Hospital of Hejiang Province participated.</td>
<td>No measured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwon et al (2013) Intervventional study</td>
<td>To examine the effect of group music therapy on brain waves, behaviour and cognitive function among patients with chronic schizophrenia.</td>
<td>Participants were inpatients, 20 years old or more and had no acute psychotic symptoms. In the experimental group, 28 participated, while in the control group, 27 participated.</td>
<td>1. The Nurse’s Observation Scale for Inpatients Evaluation</td>
<td>1. Mini-mental state examination (MMSE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 *The summary of eligible papers about study design - Cont’d*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Study design</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Tool measuring Psychometric symptoms</th>
<th>Tool measuring neurocognitive functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yong et al (2011)</td>
<td>To examine the effect of multisensory therapy in reducing the frequency of agitated behaviours and improving the cognition of geriatric patients with schizophrenia</td>
<td>Patients who were 65 years old or over, who had schizophrenia and who resided in the long-stay wards were included in this study. Patients who were in the acute phase of their disease were excluded.</td>
<td>1. the Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory</td>
<td>1. MMSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takegawa et al (2014)</td>
<td>To evaluate the effect of cognitive rehabilitation (CR) on the cognition of patients with schizophrenia.</td>
<td>Participants were inpatients with schizophrenia.</td>
<td>2. The Medal version of the Brief Agitation Rating Scale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukai et al (2009)</td>
<td>To examine the effect of laughter exercise on cognition and subjective well-being in patients with chronic schizophrenia.</td>
<td>Participants were inpatients with chronic schizophrenia.</td>
<td>1. The Subjective Well-being Ervestory</td>
<td>1. Hasegawa’s dementia scale-revision (HDS-R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurebayashi et al (2015)</td>
<td>To describe the nursing care that considered neurocognitive functions in outpatients with schizophrenia facilitate continuously paint external medication.</td>
<td>The case was a 60-year-old outpatient with schizophrenia. He could not continuously paint external medication.</td>
<td>1. Cog Health software battery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 The summary of eligible papers about study design - Conti**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Study design</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Tool measuring Psychometric symptoms</th>
<th>Tool measuring neurocognitive functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al (2014)  Protocol study</td>
<td>To determine the effectiveness of a peer-led self-management program for patients with schizophrenia in reducing the severity of psychotic symptoms, hospital re-admission and psychiatric consultation and in enhancing cognition, empowerment, functioning levels, medical adherence, perceived recovery, quality of life and social support.</td>
<td>The participants will have schizophrenia and visit the centers wherein they are in a stable condition without any acute mental health crises, who have not been admitted to the hospital because of a relapse of schizophrenia symptoms and who are between 21 and 65 years old.</td>
<td>1. the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale 2. the Specific level of Functioning Scale 3. Modified Empowerment Scale 4. the Modified Recovery Assessment Scale-Revised 5. the Modified Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support 6. the Drug Attitude Inventory 7. the World Health Organization Quality of Life Scale-Brief Version</td>
<td>1. Cogstate schizophrenia battery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 **Nursing care as an intervention and the effect on neurocognitive functions in eligible articles**

The types of nursing care were different in eligible articles (Table 2). In the protocol study, Chang et al (2014) planned to determine the effect of a peer-led self-management program in patients with schizophrenia. Stiekema et al (2015) planned to evaluate the effect of CAT.

In an interventional study, Dang et al (2014) asked 17 male patients who were experiencing their first episode of schizophrenia to play four video-games using an iPad as the intervention. As a result, only the experimental group improved their accuracy for a two-back task and the response time for a zero-, one- and two-back tasks, while the comparison group did not reveal a change. Furthermore, Shimosato et al (2005), who asked patients playing video-game as interventions,
reported that the variations of the continuous performance test coefficient of variation in the intervention group were larger than those in the comparison group. Takekava et al (2014) reported that cognitive rehabilitation as performed by trained nurses improved the score for letter cancellation tasks and the trail making test (part B) compared with their scores before intervention. Kwon et al (2013) reported that group music therapy as performed by trained nurses and professionals improved the MMSE score in the experimental group compared with in the comparison group. Mukai & Nagayoshi (2009) reported that an intervention of laughter exercises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Study design</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration of Intervention</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shinozato et al (2005)</td>
<td>The experimental group played a computer game as the intervention.</td>
<td>The intervention was conducted for 15 min, five times a week, for 3 weeks.</td>
<td>Variations in TMT-A’s coefficient of variation, and in reaction time of CPT were larger in the intervention group than in the comparison group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dang et al (2014)</td>
<td>Cognitive training was performed on iPads that were provided by the research team. The research team provided four user friendly iPad games for cognitive training in the experimental group.</td>
<td>Participants who were engaged in the cognitive training for 60 min a day, five days a week, for 4 weeks.</td>
<td>Patients in the experimental group significantly improved in the accuracy rate for two-back tasks, and in the reaction time for zero-, one- and two-back tasks from baseline to week 4 compared with those in the control group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwon et al (2013)</td>
<td>The control group received nursing care, including psychopharmacology, that had been routinely provided in hospitals. The experimental group participated in the group music therapy. The contents of the group music therapy were integrated using three music elements of singing, playing and listening.</td>
<td>The intervention was conducted for twice a week for 7 weeks</td>
<td>Alpha waves that were measured from eight different sites were consistently present in the experimental group and not in the control group. The experimental group also revealed improved cognitive functions and positive behaviours while there were significantly less negative behaviours compared with those in the control group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
improved the HDS-R score only in the interventional group, whereas there was no change in the comparison group. Yong et al (2011) investigated the effects of multisensory therapy on cognitive functions. Multisensory therapy introduced by trained nurses did not provide changes in the experimental or comparison group.

Table 2: The summary of eligible papers about interventions - Conti

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Study design</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration of Intervention</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yong et al (2011)</td>
<td>The patients in the intervention group were brought into the dedicated room called ‘Snoezelen room’. The room was furnished with items such as bubble tubes, beanbag chairs, music and aromatherapy. The therapists were gerontological-trained nurses.</td>
<td>The intervention was a multisensory therapy programme of 30 min per session, two times per week, for 12 weeks.</td>
<td>Participants in the multisensory therapy group demonstrated no significant change in their agitation levels. No significant change in cognition was observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takegawa et al (2014)</td>
<td>The Cognitive Rehabilitation (CR) was conducted as intervention for the participants.</td>
<td>CR was conducted 30–60 min per session, two times per week, for 3 months.</td>
<td>Significant changes were observed on letter cancellation and the seven words memory task and TMT-B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukai &amp; Nagayoshi (2009)</td>
<td>The laughter exercise, which referred to Jel Sakakibara’s laughing exercise, was conducted as the intervention. Moreover, The participants watched comedy videos.</td>
<td>The intervention was conducted 15 min a day, every day, for 4 weeks.</td>
<td>HDS-R scores at follow-up in the intervention groups were significantly improved compared with the baseline scores. The change on SUBI was not significant in either the intervention group or the comparison group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 The summary of eligible papers about interventional - Conti

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Study design</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration of Intervention</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kurebayashi et al (2015) Case study</td>
<td>First of all, authors measured his neurocognitive functions using objective tools. Then, he was provided with nursing care that considered his neurocognitive functional levels.</td>
<td>The nursing care as intervention was conducted for once a week.</td>
<td>Although he paint external medication only 3 days a week before the provision of nursing care, he got painting external medication every day after receiving the nursing care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al (2014) Protocol study</td>
<td>Standard rehabilitation program - The control and experimental groups will both receive the standard rehabilitation program. Intervention The experimental group will receive PLSMP that was delivered by peer-trainers.</td>
<td>PLSMP in the proposed study will involve six weekly sessions (2 h each).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoeckelma et al (2015) Protocol study</td>
<td>All nurses in the intervention groups have been trained in CAT by CAT specialists who were trained in CAT by Dr. Velligan.</td>
<td>The study will have a duration of 1 year, with four follow-up assessments at 15, 18, 21 and 24 months for the intervention group. CAT intervention for the intervention group will be conducted for 45 min a week.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the six interventional studies, five articles revealed the effects on neurocognitive functions. Shimozato et al (2005) reported that the variations in TMT-A’s coefficient of variation and reaction time of CPT, which measure attentional function, in the experimental group were larger than those in the comparison group. Dang et al (2014) reported that patients in the experimental group significantly improved with respect to the score of N-back task, which
measures memory function, from baseline to week 4, compared with those in the control group. Kwon et al (2013) reported that the score of MMSE improved in the experimental group compared with the control group. Takegawa et al (2014) reported that significant changes in TMT-B, which measures memory function, were observed. Mukai & Nagayosi (2009) reported that HDS-R scores at follow-up in the intervention groups were improved compared with the baseline scores. In contrast, Yong et al (2011) reported that participants in the multisensory therapy group demonstrated no change in MMSE’s score.

As for the duration of each intervention session, each intervention was <60 min in seven articles, each intervention was >60 min in one article and one article did not include the information. With respect to the intervention frequency each week, the sessions occurred <2 times per week in six articles, the sessions occurred >3 times a week in two articles and one article did not include this information.

5. Discussion

The entire body of the study, which had examined the nursing care that considered the neurocognitive functioning ability of patients with schizophrenia, included only nine articles in this review. This suggested that the research has been very limited in this field.

Eight eligible articles reviewed patients who were in the chronic phase of schizophrenia or who did not have acute symptoms. A previous study reported that the neurocognitive functioning of chronic phase patients was worse than that of acute phase patients and that the neurocognitive profiles of patients in the remission phase were different that those of patients in the non-remission phase (Yun DY, et al, 2011). Therefore, the level and profile of neurocognitive functioning depended on the illness stage, and the nursing care for patients with schizophrenia should depend on the stage of illness.

Given that neurocognitive functions in schizophrenia can strongly predict patient outcomes (Oie M. 2011), preventing deterioration of neurocognitive functioning in recent-onset first-episode patients may improve long-term outcomes. This suggested that developing nursing care for first-episode patients should be focussed on. However, this review found only one study that had evaluated first-episode patients (Dang J. et al), indicating that studies on patients during the first episode have been limited.

All eligible articles in this review included inpatients, except for one article. One previous study revealed that neurocognitive functions in inpatients were different compared with those in outpatients; this suggested that separate investigations of each population (in- and outpatients) should be conducted (Irani F, et al, 2011). The number of outpatients increased with the increasing trend of deinstitutionalization, particularly in Japan. Developing the nursing care for outpatients is required to maintain their neurocognitive function.

The different tools for measuring neurocognitive functions were used in the included articles. Among eligible articles, the number of studies using a test battery was very low (two articles). The trend of using a neurocognitive test battery was also found in clinical trials for the development of medicines to treat neurocognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia. Keefe et al (2013) reviewed the clinical trial study that was completely finished by 2011 and reported that clinical trial studies using a test battery were 14.7%. A recent investigation that measured several neurocognitive domains may also be important. Keefe et al (2013) reported that 79.5% of clinical trials that were completed in 2011 introduced a test battery. However, there were no gold standards for the test battery, and thus, a gold standard method needs to be developed. In any case, the design of future nursing studies will be required to investigate several neurocognitive domains at once and to identify the effect on the nursing care of neurocognitive domains.

The nursing interventions in each included article were different. Five articles of six interventional studies reported that nursing care improved cognitive function. However, most interventions in the articles used dedicated devices or rooms and lasted for 60 min per session twice

a week. It was very difficult for nursing care to apply this to the general clinical setting. Therefore, feasible methods of nursing care for a clinical setting should be developed.

In contrast, given the self-care theory by Orem, nursing directions not only enhanced the functioning of patients but also compensated for the disability. In other words, arranging the environment for reducing the burden of patient is required. The nursing care strategies, arranging the environment, to reduce neurocognitive dysfunctions in the daily living activities of patients with schizophrenia need to be developed.

This review has a limitation. The number of electronic database, which were searched in this review, were small. Therefore, further reviews are required using more electronic databases.

6. Conclusion and recommendation

Nine eligible studies, which had examined the nursing care that considered neurocognitive functions in patients with schizophrenia to assess the trends and methodologies and to obtain suggestion for future study, were examined. The results demonstrated that the trends of these studies were that subjected patients in the chronic phase and outpatients, that few studies used a test battery for measuring neurocognitive functions, and that the interventions in the included studies were complicated. Future studies that consider neurocognitive functions of patients with schizophrenia will be required to examine the effect on first-episode patients or patients in the acute phase and in outpatients; these studies will also investigate the use of a test battery for measuring neurocognitive functions and the development of more convenient interventions in the general clinical setting.
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