

# 2D:4D

*Yazar* Nebahat Eler

---

**Gönderim Tarihi:** 21-Mar-2018 09:40PM (UTC+0200)

**Gönderim Numarası:** 933976720

**Dosya adı:** 5268-19443-1-ED.docx (62.02K)

**Kelime sayısı:** 4743

**Karakter sayısı:** 27643



1  
2  
3  
4

11  
**The Correlation between Right Hand Finger Ratio (2D:4D) and the Parameters of Anthropometric and Physical Fitness in Children**

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

**Abstract**

It is hypothesized that there is a correlation between the ratio of second hand finger to fourth hand finger (2D:4D) which is an indicator of prenatal testosterone and physical fitness and sportive performance. The aim of this study was to determine correlation between the right hand finger ratio (2D:4D) and the parameters of anthropometric and physical fitness in male and female children aged between 10 and 12. Six hundred and seventy eight males whose age average was  $0.49 \pm 1.32$  and 592 females whose age average was  $10.38 \pm 1.48$  participated in this study. In addition to taking the students' right hand 2D:4D, height, weight, triceps, subscapular, full arm and leg measurements, vertical jumping, standing long jump, flexibility, 20 m sprint, 10x5 agility, leg strength, right/left hand grip strength, 20 m shuttle run and balance tests were also performed. Statistically differences were found between anthropometric measurements apart from height and BMI, 2D:4D and physical fitness parameters in the males and females. A negative correlation between right hand 2D:4D and vertical jumping, standing long jump, 20 m sprint, 10x5 agility and right hand grip strength in the males. As for the females, although a negative correlation was detected between right hand 2D:4D and height, a positive correlation was found between the same variable and body fat ratio ( $p < 0.05$ ). It can be concluded that while a low 2D:4D ratio was correlated with static and explosive strength, speed and agility in the males, it was correlated with height and body fat ratio in the females.

**Keywords:** 2D:4D, anthropometric measurement, physical fitness, children.

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

**Introduction**

That the testosterone and estrogen concentrations in the womb are at different levels affects the ratio of finger lengths in relation to each other in the children to be born (Manning et al., 1998; Wang, 2016; Kociuba et al., 2017; Ja Chau et al., 2015). As a sign of how much testosterone a human being was exposed to in the uterus 2D:4D finger length ratios have been accepted (Powel, 2012; Ja Chao and Kim, 2015). Although 2D:4D is the same in males and females until the age of 2, it shows a difference after that age and it does not change neither in the puberty nor in the adulthood (Manning, 1998). However, according to a study carried out by Ja Cho et al., 2D:4D is the same in the males and females until the age of 4 (2015).

15 Besides being effective on many areas such as a person's psychological condition (Manning,  
16 2008; Manning et al., 2011), risk of developing cancer or having a heart attack (Manning, 2008;  
17 Fink, et al., 2006), sexual preference, mathematical and verbal intelligence, finger ratio is also an  
18 indicator of a person's predisposition for sports (Tester and Campell, 2007). Low 2D:4D is  
19 accepted to be related to high performance in many sports and 2D:4D has been suggested to have  
20 a negative correlation with physical performance in many studies (Manning and Taylor, 2001;  
21 Manning, 2002; Paul et al., 2006; Bennett et al., 2010; Hill et al., 2012). Athletes may display  
22 differences in competing, seeking excitement and taking risks with respect to their gender. These  
23 features are associated with prenatal testosterone exposure as well (Kociuba et al.,2017).

24 Males have a lower 2D:4D ratio when compared to females (Kociuba et al., 2017; van  
25 Honka et al., 2011; Hönekopp and Watson, 2011) and the males who have low 2D:4D ratios tend  
26 to have high fertility, reproduction success, aggressiveness and sportive talent ( Wang et al., 2016;  
27 Ronald et al., 2002).

28 The right side is stronger than the left side in terms of all the correlations between 2D:4D  
29 and fetal sex steroids. Males tend to use the right side of their body to perform the strongest  
30 movements and behaviors (Tanner, 1990; Fink et al., 2006).

31 There is an increase in the research on the determination of children's physical features.  
32 Main reasons for these researches were to investigate the roots of increasing health problems that  
33 later arise in the adulthood, to identify children's physical fitness level and sports aptitude to guide  
34 them to choose the right sports branch for themselves. In order the identification of 2D:4D finger  
35 ratio to be a criterion in talent auditions, more research should be conducted on children and  
36 2D:4D. Most of the studies regarding 2D:4D include adults (Beaton et al., 2011; Fink et al., 2006;  
37 Paul et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2012), adolescents (Peeters et al., 2013), only a single physical fitness  
38 parameter (Manning et al., 2000; Manning and Hill, 2009; Folland et al., 2012) and groups of  
39 athletes in a single branch of sports (Bilgiç, 2016; Voracek et al., 2010; Kilduff et al., 2011; Tamiya  
40 et al., 2012; Manning, 2002; Manning, 2009; Bennet et al., 2010; Sudhakar et al., 2013; Kociuba et  
41 al., 2017). The aim of this study is to determined correlation between the right hand finger ratio  
42 (2D:4D) and the parameters of anthropometric and physical fitness in male and female children  
43 aged 10 -12.

#### 44 **Method**

45 This study was conducted including the voluntary participation of a total of 1270 primary  
46 school students (592 female, 678 male) aged 10 -12. By providing the students' parents and the  
47 school administration with detailed information about the study, their consents were obtained. The  
48 students did some stretching exercises for 10 minutes before physical fitness tests. Except for 20  
49 m shuttle run, each test was carried out twice and the best value was recorded.

#### 50 **Anthropometric Measurements**

51 A Holtain brand anthropometric set was used for the measurements. The weights of the  
52 athletes were determined using a digital bascule with a 0.1 kg of sensitivity and their heights were  
53 measured with a stadiometer with a 0.01 m of sensitivity and the BMI was calculated using the  
54 body mass (kg)/height (m<sup>2</sup>) formula. While the distance between acromion and dactylion was taken  
55 into consideration in the determination of arms Length (AL), the distance between the tibial  
56 condyle and medial malleolus was taken into account when measuring Leg Length (LL) and the  
57 obtained data was recorded with a sensibility of 1/10 cm. A skinfold caliper was used for the  
58 measurement of Body Fat Ratio (BFR). Besides, triceps and subscapular skin measurements were  
59 performed and calculated (Lohman, 1992). After the students' photocopy images of their right  
60 hands were taken, their finger lengths were measured using a vernian caliper that can measure up  
61 to 0.05 mm between the basal line at the proximal part of the 2<sup>nd</sup> finger (index finger) and the 4<sup>th</sup>  
62 finger (ring finger) on the palm of their right hands. The measurements were carried out by the  
63 same person twice to ensure reliability and they were noted down in millimeters (mm). In addition,

64 to determine the ratio, the length of the second finger was divided into the length of the fourth  
65 finger.

#### 66 **Physical Fitness Measurements**

67 The explosive strength Vertical Jumping (VJ) and Standing Broad Jump (SBJ) tests were  
68 recorded in centimeters (cm). After marking the highest point on the wall that the subject can reach  
69 while standing, the highest point s/he can touch by jumping in a position that their feet touch each  
70 other by getting strength from the knees (by bending them) was also marked and the difference  
71 between the two points were recorded. In the SBJ test, the subject jumped forward on two feet  
72 from the start line and the farthest distance that s/he jumped was recorded as the test result. The  
73 speed was measured by 20 m Sprint Test in seconds (sec) and the participants sprinted from the  
74 start line for 20 meters after getting the start signal.

75 The elapsed time between the start and finish line was detected by a photocell (New Test  
76 2000) and the speed and agility tests were performed by 10x5 m agility test (sec). A rectangular run-  
77 up area which was 1.2 m wide and 5 m long was formed for this test which means running at the  
78 end of the track and returning at the maximum speed and then the total time was recorded. To  
79 determine the static strength, Leg Strength (LS) (kg), Right Hand Grip Strength (RHGS) and Left  
80 Hand Grip Strength (LHGS) tests (kg) were performed. The LS test was carried out using a Takkei  
81 back and lift dynamometer. After the subjects placed their feet on the dynamometer stand in a knee  
82 bent case, while the arms were stretched, the back was flat and the torso was slightly bent forward,  
83 they grasped the bar of the dynamometer by their hands and lift it up vertically at their maximum  
84 using their legs. Then the value was recorded. A hand dynamometer which was adjusted based on  
85 the hand measurement of the subject was utilized for RHGS and LHGS and by applying the grip  
86 strength without bending the arm from the elbow, the measurement was taken. To determine the  
87 endurance, 20 m. Shuttle Run (endurance) test was performed on a once a day basis on a different  
88 day. The obtained scores were used to find out the subjects' maximal oxygen uptake and the values  
89 were calculated in ml/kg/min by using an evaluation table. So as measure the flexibility, the Sit and  
90 Reach (SR) test was conducted. While on sitting position, the subject stretched forward with both  
91 hands as far as possible holding this position for 1 or 2 seconds and the distance was recorded.  
92 Finally, the Flamingo balance test was performed to measure balance. The participant tried to stand  
93 on a balance board on the dominant foot with his/her shoe on for a minute and the number of  
94 trials was determined.

#### 95 **Statistical Analysis**

96 The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for normality of data. In the analysis of normally  
97 distributed data, independent samples t-test was used for comparison of two groups, and pearson  
98 correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between variables. Significance was set  
99 at  $p < 0,05$ ."

100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114

115 **Results**

116 Table 1. The correlation between anthropometric and physical fitness parameters between the male  
117 and female students

Değişkenler	Variables	(male; n=678)	(female; n=592)	t	p
		Mean ± Std. Deviation	Mean ± Std. Deviation		
Anthropometric Measurements	Age (year)	10.49±1.32	10.38 ± 1.48	1.399	0.16
	Height (cm)	140.67± 9.43	141.43 ± 8.16	-1.524	0.12
	Weight (kg)	36.66 ± 9.43	37.84 ± 8.63	-2.313	0.02*
	BMI (kg/m2)	21.70 ± 6.23	22.42 ± 7.35	-1.889	0.05
	Body Fat Ratio (%)	25.06 ± 8.70	27.16 ± 8.95	-4.225	0.00*
	Leg Length (cm)	62.81 ± 7.45	64.08 ± 6.99	-3.373	0.03*
	Arm Length (cm)	56.78 ± 8.21	57.81 ± 9.12	-2.102	0.03*
Physical Fitness Parameters	Right Hand 2D:4D	0.941 ± 0.039	0.967 ± 0.029	-13.583	0.00*
	Vertical Jumping (cm)	17.09 ± 5.61	15.45 ± 4.94	5.539	0.000*
	Standing Long Jump (cm)	128.53 ± 20.68	117.43 ± 16.48	10.634	0.000*
	Flexibility (cm)	18.57 ± 6.07	23.73 ± 7.61	-13.227	0.000*
	20 m Sprint (sec)	4.61 ± 0.57	4.89 ± 0.89	-6.568	0.000*
	10x5 m (sec)	24.97 ± 2.79	29.48 ± 3.41	-25.564	0.000*
	Leg Strength (kg)	39.37 ± 12.33	30.14 ± 10.52	14.394	0.000*
	Right Hand Grip Strength (kg)	16.64 ± 4.41	15.19 ± 5.78	4.970	0.000*
	Left Hand Grip Strength (kg)	14.40 ± 4.08	13.42 ± 6.42	3.226	0.000*
	MaxVO <sub>2</sub> (ml.kg/min)	31.8 ± 3.41	31.3 ± 2.24	3.123	0.001*
Balance	9.7 ± 5.25	10.8 ± 7.46	-2.997	0.002*	

118 p&lt;0.05

119 Considering the results of the anthropometric measurement, the mean values of weight,  
120 BFR, arm/leg length and right hand 2D:4D were higher in the females than those of the males. As  
121 for the results of the physical fitness parameters, all the mean values of these parameters were  
122 higher in the males than those of the females, except for flexibility and a significant meaningful  
123 difference was found statistically among each other (p<0.05). When the overall picture was taken  
124 into account, there was a meaningful difference in all the parameters between the males and females  
125 apart from age, height, and BMI.

126 Table 2. The correlation between right hand 2D:4D and anthropometric features of the male and  
127 female students

Değişkenler	Males		Females	
	Right hand 2D:4D	Left hand 2D:4D	Right hand 2D:4D	Left hand 2D:4D
Age (year)	r	0,23	r	0,18
	p	0,16	p	0,33
Height (cm)	r	0,22	r	-0,17
	p	0,14	p	0,04*
Weight (kg)	r	-0,04	r	-0,04
	p	0,17	p	0,27
BMI (kg/m2)	r	-0,12	r	0,11
	p	0,41	p	0,06
Body Fat Rate (%)	r	0,07	r	0,57
	p	0,14	p	0,00*
Leg Length (cm)	r	0,03	r	0,14
	p	0,25	p	-0,01
Arm Length (cm)	r	0,06	r	-0,33
	p	0,14	p	0,29

129 p&lt;0.05

130 In the females, a weak negative correlation between right hand 2D:4D and height and LL  
131 were detected, whereas there was a moderate positive correlation between the same variable and  
132 BFR. As for the males, no correlation was detected between right hand 2D:4D and anthropometric  
133 measures.

134 Table 3. The correlation between right hand 2D:4D and physical fitness parameters in the males  
135 and females

Değişkenler	Males		Female
	Right hand 2D:4D	Left hand 2D:4D	Left hand 2D:4D
Vertical Jump (cm)	r	-0,25	-0,05
	p	0,06*	0,06
Standing Broad Jump (cm)	r	-0,01	-0,01
	p	0,17*	0,21
Sit and Reach (cm)	r	-0,01	-0,06
	p	0,12	0,33
20m sprint (sec)	r	-0,11	-0,06
	p	0,04*	0,07
10X5 m (sec)	r	-0,21	0,03
	p	0,01*	0,16
Leg Strength(kg)	r	-0,07	-0,12
	p	0,06	0,21
Hand Grip Strength (right) (kg)	r	-0,31	-0,01
	p	0,02*	0,08
Hand Grip Strength (left) (kg)	r	0,06	-0,04
	p	0,23	0,19
MaxVO <sub>2</sub> (ml.kg/min)	r	0,01	-0,05
	p	0,31	0,21
Balance	r	0,11	0,12
	p	0,21	0,38

137 p<0.05

138 Considering the performance parameters, although there was a negative correlation  
139 between right hand 2D:4D and VJ, SBJ, 20 m sprint, 10 x 5 agility and right hand grip strength, no  
140 correlation could be found between right hand 2D:4D and these parameters in the females.

### 141 Conclusion and Discussion

142 Although there are a good number of studies regarding 2D:4D in the literature, there are  
143 only a few studies concerning the correlation between 2D:4D ratio and physical fitness parameters  
144 in children and adolescents (Eghbali, 2016; Bilgiç et al., 2016; Ranson et al., 2015; Wang et al.,  
145 2016). Besides, it is a handicap that the results of all these studies are different from each other and  
146 it is assumed that the differences stem from the number of the subjects, their age, and frequency  
147 of doing physical exercise.

148 Folland et al., (2012) in young males, Peeters et al., (2013) in young females, Mehdizadeh  
149 et al., (2013) in female university students (n=40), Eghbali (2016) in male children aged 7-13 (n-  
150 316), Bilgiç et al., (2016) in children aged 11-13 who are engaged in different sports branches (f-37,  
151 m-57), Bilgiç et al., (2016) in 39 females and 57 males aged 11-13 in different sports branches, and  
152 in a similar study Eghbali (2016) in male students aged 7-14 examined the correlation between  
153 2D:4D ratio and physical fitness and observed no significant correlation between 2D:4D ratio and  
154 strength, endurance, speed, agility and flexibility. Contrary to these results, Wang et al., (2016)  
155 reported a strong negative correlation between 2D:4D and fine and gross motor skills in a study  
156 conducted on 40 male and 40 female children in the same age group. Ranson et al., (2015)  
157 investigated the correlation between 2D:4D and physical fitness in a study in which 922 male and  
158 835 female students participated and stated a negative correlation between 2D:4D and strength,  
159 endurance and speed in the males.

160 On the other hand, Fink et al., (2003) identified a positive correlation between 2D:4D and  
161 BMI- waist and hip circumference- in adult males (n-50) and females (n-70), while Müller et al.,  
162 (2013) did not find a significant correlation between 2D:4D and some anthropometric  
163 measurements such as BMI and waist in adults (n-14,916). This contradiction might stem from the  
164 number of participants who were involved in the studies. Although Ranson et al., (2015) reported  
165 a significant correlation between 2D:4D and height, weight, BMI, and waist circumference in the  
166 females, they did not observe any correlation in the males. In our study; however, while there was  
167 a weak negative correlation between 2D:4D and height ( $r=-0.17$ ), and a moderate positive  
168 correlation between 2D:4D and BFR ( $r= 0.57$ ) in the females, no correlation was found in the  
169 males.

170 In the study they carried out on young males, Manning and Hill (2009) indicated a negative  
171 correlation between right/left hand 2D:4D and 20 m, 30 m, 40 m and 50 m sprint speed. Ranson  
172 et al., (2015) found a significant and negative correlation in the males aged 8-12 between 2D:4D  
173 and  $5 \times 10$  m sprint, which is consistent with our results. Though it was weak, there was a negative  
174 correlation between 2D:4D and speed ( $r=-0.11$ ) and agility ( $r=-0.21$ ).

175 Zhao et al., (2015) suggested that there was a strong correlation between the cardio-vascular  
176 system and muscle strength due to prenatal testosterone in the males but reported no evidence for  
177 the females. In studies examining the correlation between 2D:4D and strength, Grip Strength (GS)  
178 test which determines the static strength is utilized (Ranson et al., 2015; Bilgiç et al., 2016; Eghbali,  
179 2016; van Anders, 2007; Gallup et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2012).

180 In our study, while there was a moderate negative correlation between 2D:4D and GS in  
181 the males, no relationship was found in the females. Fink et al., (2006) and Zhao et al., (2012)  
182 suggested that there was a negative correlation between 2D:4D and GS, whereas Zhao et al., (2012)  
183 and Hone and McCullough (2013) confirmed that there was a correlation in the females for  
184 the same variable. Furthermore, there are many studies proving that there is no correlation between  
185 2D:4D and static strength (van Anders, 2007; Gallup et al., 2007, Halil and Gürel, 2012).

186 In the present study, no correlation between 2D:4D and endurance was found in the male  
187 and female children. In their studies, Manning et al., 2007 and Fink et al., 2003 determined that the  
188 strongest correlation was between 2D:4D and endurance parameters when compared to speed and  
189 strength in adults (f-50, m-30). On the other hand, Ranson et al., (2015) reported a strong  
190 relationship between 2D:4D and endurance in a study conducted on 922 male and 835 female  
191 students.

192 There is also some research indicating that there is a strong correlation between 2D:4D and  
193 sportive performance in the males who are middle and long distance runners (Manning et al., 2008;  
194 Manning et al., 2007; Manning et al., 2009).

195 Peeters et al., (2013) in young females (n-178), Hill et al., (2012) in young males (n-41), Eghbali,  
196 (2016) in male children (n-316) detected a significant correlation between right/left 2D:4D and  
197 maximal oxygen intake.

198 While Ranson et al., (2015) suggested that the level of prenatal testosterone and estrogen  
199 had effects on speed, endurance and strength on male children in a study conducted on children,  
200 Hönekopp et al., (2006) reported a significant correlation between left hand 2D:4D ( $r = 20.32$ ) and  
201 physical fitness parameters in a study involving young females, but this relationship was not  
202 observed for right hand.

203 As a result of the study conducted on young females aged 13.5- 18, Peeters et al., (2013)  
204 claimed that there was no correlation between left hand 2D:4D ratio and any physical fitness  
205 components (balance, limb movement speed, flexibility, explosive strength, static strength, body  
206 strength, functional power, speed/ agility and endurance) and anthropometric variables (height,  
207 weight, BMI and somatotype components). Therefore, they stated that 2D:4D should not be  
208 among the criteria for females in talent auditions.

209 In a study carried out by Manning and Taylor (2001), it was indicated that the males who  
210 have low 2D:4D ratios are more successful in many sports and have better balance and

211 coordination skills, which is a positive feature in sports. However, contrary to this result, no  
212 relationship was found in our study between 2D:4D and balance in males and females.  
213 Although the results of the studies that have been conducted so far to examine the relationship  
214 between 2D:4D and sports, performance and physical fitness have presented differences, it is  
215 thought that these differences are due to the number of participants, their age and frequency of  
216 doing physical exercise.

217 In the present study, it was revealed that the ratio of the male students' 2D:4D was lower  
218 than that of the females. Besides, as a result of this research, a significant difference was found  
219 between 2D:4D and anthropometric and physical fitness parameters, except for age, height and  
220 BMI in the male and female students aged 10-12. While there was a negative correlation between  
221 right hand 2D:4D and static strength (RHGS), explosive strength (VJ, SLJ), speed and agility (20  
222 m sprint and 10 x 5 m), a negative relationship with height and a positive relationship with BFR  
223 were determined in the females. To conclude, while 2D:4D, which is an indicator of fetal  
224 testosterone, can be a reference point for male children in talent auditions for the sports branches  
225 requiring strength and speed as well as in guiding them to the right sport, it should not be a criterion  
226 for performance in females. If this study is conducted on the children engaging in sports or larger  
227 populations, different results might be obtained.

228

229

## References

230

Manning, J.T, Scutt D, Wilson J, Lewis-Jones D.I. (1998). The Ratio of 2. To 4. Digit  
231 Length: a predictor of sperm numbers and concentrations of testosterone, luteinizing hormone  
232 and oestrogen. *Human Reprod*, 13(11), 3000-3004. [10.1093/humrep/13.11.3000](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/13.11.3000)[doi].

233

Wang, Y, Wang, H.L., Li, Y.H. , Zhu, F.L., Li, S.J., Ni, H. (2016). Using 2D: 4D digit  
234 ratios to determine motor skills in children. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological  
235 Sciences*, 20, 806-809.

236

Kociuba, M., Koziel, S., Chakraborty, R., Ignasiak, Z. (2017). Humans exhibit sex  
237 differences in competitiveness, sensation seeking and risk-taking attitude, which are required in  
238 sports. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, 49(5), 623-633.

239

Ja Cho, K. and Kim, S. (2015). Change of Second to Fourth Digit Ratio according to Age  
240 in Korean Children. *Korean Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 28(4), 197-203.  
241 [10.11637/kjpa.2015.28.4.197](https://doi.org/10.11637/kjpa.2015.28.4.197)[doi].

242

Powel, JL, Parkes, L, Kemp, GJ et al. (2012). The effect of sex and handedness on white  
243 matter anisotropy: a diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neuroscience*, 59, 227-  
244 242. [10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.01.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.01.016)[doi].

245

Manning, J.T., Fink, B. (2008). Digit ratio (2D:4D), dominance, reproductive success,  
246 asymmetry, and sociosexuality in the BBC Internet Study. *American Journal of Human Biology*,  
247 20(4), 451-461. [10.1002/ajhb.20767](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.20767)[doi].

248

Manning, J.T. (2011). Resolving the role of prenatal sex steroids in the development of digit  
249 ratio. *PNAS*, 108(39), 16143-16144. [10.1073/pnas.1113312108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113312108)[doi].

250

Fink, B., Thanzami, V., Seydel, H., Manning, J.T. (2006). Digit ratio and hand-grip strength  
251 in German and Mizos men: Cross-cultural evidence for an organizing effect of prenatal  
252 testosterone on strength. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 18(6), 776-782.  
253 [10.1002/ajhb.20549](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.20549) [doi].

254

Tester, N. and Campbell, A. (2007). Sporting achievement: What is the contribution of digit  
255 ratio? *Journal of Personality*, 75(4), 663-677. [10.1111/j.1467-6494.2007.00452.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2007.00452.x) [doi].

256

Manning, J.T. and Taylor R.P. (2001). Second to fourth digit ratio and male ability in sport:  
257 implications for sexual selection in humans. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 22(1): 61-69.  
258 [10.1016/S1090-5138\(00\)00063-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1090-5138(00)00063-5)[doi].

259

Manning, J.T. (2002). The ratio of the 2nd to 4th digit length and performance in skiing.  
260 *Journal of Sports Medicine Physical Fitness*, 42, 446-50.



- 261 Bennett, M., Manning, J.T., Cook, C.J., Kilduff, L.P. (2010). Digit ratio (2D: 4D) and  
262 performance in elite rugby players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(13), 1415-1421.  
263 928660707[pii];10.1080/02640414.2010.510143 [doi].
- 264 Hill, R., Simpson, B., Manning, J., Kilduff, L. (2012). Right-left digit ratio (2D:4D) and  
265 maximal oxygen uptake. *Journal of Sports Science*, 30, 129–134. 10.1080/02640414.2011.637947  
266 [doi].
- 267 Van Honka, J., Schutter, D.J., Bos, P.A., Kruijt, A.W., Lentjes, E.G. & Baron-Cohen, S.  
268 (2011). Testosterone Administration Impairs Cognitive Empathy In Women Depending On  
269 Second-To-Fourth Digit Ratio. *Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences Of The United*  
270 *States Of America*, 108, 3448-3452.10.1073/pnas.1011891108[doi].
- 271 Ronald, G., Phillips, D.I.W., Godfrey, K.M., Manning, J.T. (2002). The ratio of second to  
272 fourth digit lengths: a marker of impaired fetal growth? *Early Human Development*, 68(1), 21-26.  
273 10.1016/S0378-3782(02)00009-9[doi].
- 274 Tanner, J.M., (1990). *Foetus into Man: Physical Growth from Conception to Maturity*.  
275 New York: Harvard Univ Press.
- 276 Beaton, A.A., Rudling, N., Kissling, C., Taurines, R. & Thome, J. (2011). Digit ratio  
277 (2D:4D), salivary testosterone, and handedness. *Laterality: Asymmetries of Body, Brain and*  
278 *Cognition*, 16(2), 136-155. 10.1080/13576500903410369[doi].
- 279 Paul, S.N., Kato, B.S., Hunkin, J.L., Vivekanandan, S., Spector, T.D. (2006). The big finger:  
280 the second to fourth digit ratio is a predictor of sporting ability in women. *British Journal of Sports*  
281 *Medicine*, 40, 981–983. 10.1136/bjism.2006.027193 [doi].
- 282 Zhao, D., Li, B., Yu, K., Zheng, L. (2012). Digit ratio (2D: 4D) and handgrip strength in  
283 subjects of Han ethnicity: impact of sex and age. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*,  
284 149(2), 266-271. 10.1002/ajpa.22130 [doi].
- 285 Peeters, M.W., Van Aken, K., Claessens, A.L. (2013). The left hand second to fourth digit  
286 ratio (2D:4D) is not related to any physical fitness component in adolescent girls. *PLoS One*, 8(4),  
287 e59766. 10.1371/journal.pone.0059766[doi].
- 288 Manning, J.T., Barley, L., Walton, J., Lewis-Jones, D.I., Trivers, R.L., Singh, D., et al. (2000).  
289 The 2nd:4th digit ratio, sexual dimorphism, population differences and reproductive success:  
290 evidence for sexually antagonistic genes. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 21, 163–83.  
291 10.1016/S1090-5138(00)00029-5[doi].
- 292 Manning, J.T. and Hill, M.R. (2009). Digit ratio (2D:4D) and sprinting speed in boys.  
293 *American Journal of Human Biology*, 21(2), 210-213. 10.1002/ajhb.20855[doi].
- 294 Folland, J.P., Mc Cauley, T.M., Phipers, C., Hanson, B., Mastana, S.S.. (2012). Relationship  
295 of 2D:4D finger ratio with muscle strength, testosterone, and androgen receptor CAG repeat  
296 genotype. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 148(1), 81-77. 10.1002/ajpa.22044[doi].
- 297 Bilgiç, M., Biçer, M., Özdal, M. (2016). Farklı Branşlarda Spor Yapan 11-13 Yaş Grubu  
298 Çocukların 2D:4D Parmak Oranlarının Sportif Performansla İlişkinin İncelenmesi. *Gaziantep*  
299 *Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1(1), 48-56.
- 300 Voracek, M., Reimer, B., Dressler, S.G. (2010). Digit ratio (2D:4D) predicts sporting  
301 success among female fencers independent from physical, experience, and personality factors.  
302 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 20(6), 853-860. 10.1111/j.1600-  
303 0838.2009.01031.x [doi].
- 304 Kilduff, L.P., Cook, C.J., Manning, J.T. (2011). Digit ratio (2D:4D) and performance in  
305 male surfers. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 25(11), 3175-3180.  
306 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318212de8e[doi].
- 307 Tamiya, R., Lee, S.Y. Ohtake, F., 2012. Second To Fourth Digit Ratio And The Sporting  
308 Success Of Sumo Wrestlers. *Evolution And Human Behavior*, 33: 130-136.
- 309 Manning, J.T., Hill, M.R. (2009). Digit ratio (2D:4D) and sprinting speed in boys. *American*  
310 *Journal of Human Biology*, 21(2), 210-213. 10.1002/ajhb.20855 [doi].

- 311 Sudhakar, H.H., Veena, U.B., Tejaswi ,R.N. (2013). Digit ratio (2D:4D) and performance  
312 in Indian swimmers. *Indian Journal of Physiology Pharmacology*, 57(1), 72-6.
- 313 Lohman, T.G. (1992). *Advances in body composition assessment*. Champaign, Ill: Human  
314 Kinetics.
- 315 Eghbali, E. (2012). The relationship between digit ratio (2D:4D) and physical fitness in  
316 boys 7 to 13 years. *Physical Activity Review*, 4, 154-162. [10.16926/par.2016.04.19](https://doi.org/10.16926/par.2016.04.19)[doi].
- 317 Ranson, R., Strattonb, G., Taylor, S.R. (2015). Digit ratio (2D:4D) and physical fitness  
318 (Eurofit test battery) in school children. *Early Human Development*, 91, 327-331.  
319 [10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2015.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2015.03.005)[doi].
- 320 Mehdizadeh, R., Sarhozaki, N., Abbasi, S. (2013). Relationship between second to fourth  
321 digit ratio (2D: 4D) and dynamic muscular endurance in trained girl students. *International Journal*  
322 *of Sport Studies*, 3(1), 99-104.
- 323 Fink, B., Neave, N., Manning, J.T. (2003). Second to fourth digit ratio, body mass index,  
324 waist-to-hip ratio, and waist-to-chest ratio: their relationships in heterosexual men and women.  
325 *Ananals of Human Biology*, 30(6), 728-738. [10.1080/03014460310001620153](https://doi.org/10.1080/03014460310001620153)[doi].
- 326 Muller, D.C., Manning, J.T., Hopper, J.L., English, D.R., Giles, G.G., Severi, G. (2013). No  
327 strong association between second to fourth digit ratio (2D:4D) and adult anthropometric  
328 measures with emphasis on adiposity. *Ananals of Human Biology*, 40(2), 201-204.  
329 [10.3109/03014460.2012.751127](https://doi.org/10.3109/03014460.2012.751127)[doi].
- 330 Van Anders, S.M. (2007). Grip strength and digit ratios are not correlated in women.  
331 *American Journal of Human Biology.*, 19(3), 437-439. [10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01031.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01031.x) [doi].
- 332 Gallup, A.C., White, D.D., Gallup, G.G. (2007). Handgrip strength predicts sexual  
333 behavior, body morphology, and aggression in male college students. *Evolution and Human*  
334 *Behavior*, 28:, 423–429.
- 335 Hone, L.S.E., Mc Cullough, M.E., 2012. 2D:4D ratios predict hand grip strength (but not  
336 hand grip endurance) in men (but not women). *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 33, 780-789.  
337 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2012.07.003>
- 338 Halil, M, Gürel, E.I., Kuyumcu, M.E., Karaismailoglu, S., Yesil, Y., et al. (2012). Digit  
339 (2D:4D) ratio is associated with muscle mass (MM) and strength (MS) in older adults: Possible  
340 effect of in utero androgen exposure. *Archives of Gerontol Geriatrics*, 56(2), 358-363.  
341 [10.1016/j.archger.2012.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2012.11.003) [doi].
- 342 Manning, J.T., Morris, L., Caswell, N. (2007). Endurance running and digit ratio (2D:4D):  
343 implications for fetal testosterone effects on running speed and vascular health. *American Journal*  
344 *of Human Biology*, 19, 416-421. [10.1002/ajhb.20603](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.20603) [doi].
- 345 Hönekopp, J., Manning, T., Muller, C. (2006). Digit ratio (2D:4D) and physical fitness in  
346 males and females: Evidence for effects of prenatal androgens on sexually selected traits).  
347 *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 49, 545-549. [10.1016/j.yhbeh.2005.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2005.11.006) [doi].
- 348 Hönekopp, J., & Watson, S. (2011). Meta-analysis of the relationship between digit-ratio  
349 2d:4d and aggression. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 51, 381-386. [10.1002/ajhb.21054](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.21054)  
350 [doi].
- 351 Phelps VR., (1952). Relative index finger length as a sex influenced trait in man. *American*  
352 *Journal of Human Genetics*, 4:472–89.
- 353

%7

BENZERLIK ENDEKSI

%4

İNTERNET  
KAYNAKLARI

%9

YAYINLAR

%2

ÖĞRENCİ ÖDEVLERİ

## BİRİNCİL KAYNAKLAR

- 1** [education.und.edu](http://education.und.edu) İnternet Kaynağı %1
- 2** COSKUN, ALI and SAHIN, GULSAH. "Two different strength training and untrained period effects in children", Journal of Physical Education & Sport, 2014. Yayın %1
- 3** [www.europeanreview.org](http://www.europeanreview.org) İnternet Kaynağı %1
- 4** "Researchers from Xinxiang Medical University Report on Findings in Pharmacology (Using 2D:4D digit r", Obesity, Fitness & Wellness Week, May 7 2016 Issue Yayın <%1
- 5** [www.eurasianjournals.com](http://www.eurasianjournals.com) İnternet Kaynağı <%1
- 6** Butovskaya, Marina, Valentina Burkova, Dmitry Karelin, and Bernhard Fink. "Digit ratio (2D:4D), aggression, and dominance in the Hadza and <%1

the Datoga of Tanzania : DIGIT RATIO,  
AGGRESSION AND DOMINANCE", American  
Journal of Human Biology, 2015.

Yayın

7 Janos Kallai, Árpád Csathó, Ferenc Kövér,  
Tamás Makány et al. "MRI-assessed volume of  
left and right hippocampi in females correlates  
with the relative length of the second and  
fourth fingers (the 2D:4D ratio)", Psychiatry  
Research: Neuroimaging, 2005

Yayın

8 [www.pnas.org](http://www.pnas.org)  
İnternet Kaynağı

9 Hone, Liana S.E., and Michael E. McCullough.  
"2D:4D ratios predict hand grip strength (but  
not hand grip endurance) in men (but not in  
women)", Evolution and Human Behavior,  
2012.

Yayın

10 Submitted to University College London  
Öğrenci Ödevi

11 Johannes Hönekopp, John T. Manning,  
Constanze Müller. "Digit ratio (2D:4D) and  
physical fitness in males and females:  
Evidence for effects of prenatal androgens on  
sexually selected traits", Hormones and  
Behavior, 2006

12

Submitted to Coventry University

Öğrenci Ödevi

<% 1

13

Bakholdina, Varvara Yu., Alla A. Movsesian, and Irina M. Sineva. "Digit ratio (2D:4D): Is it possible to use it for the sex determination in the study of human skeletal remains? : Digit Ratio and Sex Determination", American Journal of Human Biology, 2015.

Yayın

<% 1

14

Hsien-Tsai Wu, Chun-Ho Lee, Chin-Jung Chen, Cheuk-Kwan Sun. "Penile Arterial Waveform Analyzing System for Early Identification of Young Adults with High Risk of Erectile Dysfunction", The Journal of Sexual Medicine, 2012

Yayın

<% 1

15

Honekopp, J.. "Second to fourth digit length ratio (2D:4D) and adult sex hormone levels: New data and a meta-analytic review", Psychoneuroendocrinology, 200705

Yayın

<% 1

16

[mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de](http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de)

İnternet Kaynağı

<% 1

17

Ranson, R., G. Stratton, and S.R. Taylor. "Digit ratio (2D:4D) and physical fitness (Eurofit test

<% 1

# battery) in school children", Early Human Development, 2015.

Yayın

18

于 2013-03-18 提交至 Royal Holloway and Bedford New College

Öğrenci Ödevi

<% 1

19

r-project.kr

İnternet Kaynağı

<% 1

20

Submitted to CSU, Hayward

Öğrenci Ödevi

<% 1

Alıntıları çıkart

üzerinde

Eşleşmeleri çıkar

< 10 words

Bibliyografyayı Çıkart

üzerinde