



## An examination of some physiological and anthropometric characteristics of 10-12 year-old soccer players \*

Tarkan Sögüt<sup>1</sup>  
Hasan Akkuş<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

**Research aim:** This study aimed to examine some physiological and anthropometric characteristics of 10-12 years old soccer players. **Method:** 20 subjects aged  $11.05 \pm 0.94$ , who have been actively participating in Konya Besiktas Football Schools for 3 years, voluntarily participated in the study. These subjects' body weight, height, body fat percentage, arm span, leg length, bust length, back strength, leg strength, hand grip strength, anaerobic power, maximum oxygen consumption and 30 m sprint test measurements were made. Averages and standard deviations of the measured and calculated values were analysed using the "IBM SPSS Statistics Version v.21" statistical program. **Results:** As a result of the tests and measurements made, the subjects average body weight was  $37.31 \pm 7.71$  kg, height  $147.66 \pm 9.25$  cm, body fat percentage  $17.99 \pm 3.92\%$ , arm span  $145.4 \pm 10.1$  cm, leg length  $69.62 \pm 6.57$  cm, bust length  $76.51 \pm 3.4$  cm, back strength  $51.95 \pm 12.86$  kg, leg strength  $52.77 \pm 14.36$  kg, hand grip strength  $17.67 \pm 5.34$  kg, anaerobic power value  $46.07 \pm 12.22$  kgm/sec, maximum oxygen consumption (maxVO<sub>2</sub>)  $44.61 \pm 3.23$  ml/kg/min, and 30 m sprint test score  $5.74 \pm 0.32$  sec. **Discussion and Conclusion:** As a result of the literature review, it was determined that children in the same age group have similar physiological and anthropometric characteristics.

**Keywords:** Anthropometric Characteristics, Physiological Characteristics, Young footballers.

### 1. Introduction

Football is the most popular sport worldwide and is played in every country without exception (Thaller et al., 2018; Andrei, 2019; Rookwood, 2020) and is played by different categories of men and women, children and adults. Football performance depends on numerous factors such as technical/biomechanical, tactical, mental and target areas. Football is not a science, but science can help enhance performance (Stolen et al., 2005). In recent years, there has been a significant development in sports science. The subject area is now recognized as both an academic discipline and a valid area of professional practice Soccer players and Coaches are more open to contemporary scientific approximation preparing for competitions (Sporis et al., 2009).

Success in sports performance is closely related to the athlete's physical condition. In addition to physiological condition parameters, anthropometric parameters have an essential effect

\* This article was produced from the master's thesis.

<sup>1</sup> Research Assistant, Siirt University, High school of Physical Education and Sports, [tarkan.sogut@siirt.edu.tr](mailto:tarkan.sogut@siirt.edu.tr)

 Orcid ID: [0000-0002-9337-6706](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9337-6706)

<sup>2</sup> Prof. Dr., Selcuk University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, [hakkus@selcuk.edu.tr](mailto:hakkus@selcuk.edu.tr)

 Orcid ID: [0000-0001-8768-3999](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8768-3999)



on the evaluation of the physical condition. The anthropometric characteristics of athletes can be an indicator of their physical readiness of the athlete. Therefore anthropometric examination is an important part of determining the physical characteristics of the athlete (Gontarev et al., 2016). Anthropometric measurements are important in determining physical and physiological performance. Body weight, body fat percentage, and muscle mass values of athletes are important factors that affect maximum oxygen consumption and jumping ability (Moncef et al., 2012). One of the preferred technical features when choosing successful athletes of the future is the calculation of anthropometric characteristics. Sports branches have their own anthropometric demands and characteristics (Revan 2003). Determining the anthropometric measurements of the individual in some sports branches provides an important advantage for that sport. For this reason, anthropometry has an important place among the talent selection criteria (Bompa 2001). During the selection of talented players in football, tests should be used to evaluate motor performance and physiological, along with somatotype and anthropometric research, and the improvement of players should be watched (Gjonbalaj et al., 2018).

Over the last decade, the identification and development of young football players have become increasingly important for elite football clubs and have made it mandatory to become elite at a very young age due to these increasing pressures on children. (Jones & Drust 2007). Football is a branch that requires an educational process. In this educational process, knowing the physical and physiological dimensions of football, providing young football players with the highest level in the training process, and following the development process will enable these children to show high success. Football schools have an important place in determining the talented children who have the requirements of this branch. In this process, it is essential to correctly plan the school, family, and club cooperation (Kurban & Kaya 2017).

This study has been designed to analyze some anthropometric and physiological characteristics of 10-12-year-old soccer players.

## 2. Method

The research protocol started after receiving ethics committee approval from Selçuk University Faculty of Sports Sciences dated 20.06.2018 and numbered 2018/42. This study was carried out by the 'Non-Invasive Clinical Research Ethics Committee Decision'.

### 2.1. Participants

20 male football players aged  $11.05 \pm 0.94$  years which are known as the pre-academy age group, who have been actively participating in Konya Besiktas Football Schools for 3 years, voluntarily participated in the study. Before the study, each of the subjects was given detailed information about the risks and inconvenient situations encountered in the study. Voluntary consent form approval was obtained from the parents of the subjects. Before the study, all subjects had a health check, and subjects who did not have any physical or cardiovascular disease were included in the study.

### 2.2. Age, Height, and Weight Measurement

The subject's body weights were measured with bare feet and shorts on a precision scale up to 20 grams. In height measurements, the sliding caliper was adjusted to touch the subject's head while the athletes were standing in an upright position. The recording was made while in this position and the length was measured with an accuracy of 1 mm. In the age calculation, the date of birth was taken as month and year.

### 2.3. Body Fat Percentage Calculation and Measurement

Subcutaneous fat was measured with a Holtain Brand Skinfold Caliper measuring device. It was performed by holding the skin and subcutaneous fat, pulling it away from the muscle tissue, and applying firm pressure with the tip of the instrument. The Durnin and Womersley Formula was used to calculate body density (BD). For this purpose, skinfold subcutaneous fat thickness of

the Biceps, Triceps, Subscapular, and Suprailiac regions was measured. Body Densities were calculated by inserting the obtained values into the following formula (Durnin et al., 1974).

$$BY = X * 1.1533 - 0.0643$$

$$\log X = (\text{the sums of Suprailiac, Biceps, Triceps, and Subscapular})$$

Calculated values for BD were inserted into the following Siri formula and body fat percentages were calculated (Siri 1961).

$$\text{Body fat percentage (BFP)} = (495 / \text{Body Density}) - 450$$

## 2.4. Anthropometric Measurements

Anthropometric measurements were made with a Holtain Brand anthropometric set. The subject laid back straight against the wall for the arm span and spread their hands parallel to the floor. The distance between the fingertips of the right and left hands were measured and recorded with the palms facing forward. The height of the leg between the coccyx and the floor was measured and recorded while the subject was standing. The bust-length was calculated by subtracting the leg length from the subject's height.

## 2.5. Physiological Measurement

A back and leg dynamometer (Takei brand) was used to measure back strength. The subject placed feet on the dynamo table with knees stretched, arms stretched, back straight, body slightly bent forward, and pulled up the dynamometer he gripped with his hand vertically as much as he could. This test was repeated three times with rest and the highest value was recorded. A back and leg dynamometer (Takei brand) was used to measure leg strength. After placing his feet on the dynamo table with his knees bent, the subject pulled the dynamometer bar, which was gripped with hands, vertically, using his legs as much as possible with arms were stretched, back straight, and body slightly bent. This test was repeated three times with rest and the highest value was recorded. A hand dynamometer (Takei brand) was used to measure hand grip strength. The subject, with his arm stretched at the elbow, squeezed the dynamometer with maximum effort without resting his arm on his body, and the value seen on the scale was recorded. The highest of the three trials was recorded as hand grip strength.

## 2.6. Field Measurement

### 2.6.1. Vertical Jump and Anaerobic Power Test

The distance that the subjects could reach by standing in front of the wall marked in centimetres, with their feet shoulder-width apart and their torso sideways to the marked wall was marked. Then, each subject was given three attempts in the same position and the best of them was evaluated. The range between the distance that the subjects could reach while standing and the distance they jumped and touched was determined in meters and converted to anaerobic power with the Lewis Nomogram formula (Fox et al., 1988).

$$\text{Anaerobic Power} = \sqrt{4.9 * VA * \sqrt{DSM}}$$

### 2.6.2. 30 Meter Sprint Test

The 30-meter sprint was placed on the starting line of the track, and together with the exit sign, it started running through the starting photocell and the result obtained after passing through the finish photocell was recorded. The test was repeated twice and the best result was accepted.

### 2.6.3. 20 Meter Shuttle Run Test and Aerobic Capacity

The 20-meter test track was determined by measuring with a tape measure. The test started at a slow running speed (8 km/h) and the subject started running at the 1st signal tone he heard. He was told that he had to reach the line until the 2nd signal tone 2. Upon hearing the signal tone, he turned back to the starting line and continued this running speed with signals increasing 0.5 km/h every minute. When the subject heard the signal, he adjusted the tempo to be at the other end of the track once the second signal was given. The speed, which was slow at first, gradually increased every 10 seconds. If the subject missed a signal and caught the 2nd one, the test was continued. If the subject missed two signals in a row, the test was terminated. The subjects last run level and lap

were recorded on the test paper, and the maximum oxygen consumption (maxVO<sub>2</sub>) was calculated.

### 2.7. Statistical Analysis

The 30-meter sprint was placed on the starting line of the track, and together with the exit sign, it started running through the starting photocell and the result obtained after passing through the finish photocell was recorded. The test was repeated twice and the best result was accepted.

## 3. Results

**Tablo 1.** Descriptive statistics of subjects

	(n=20) (Mean ± Sd.)
Age (year)	11,05± 0,94
Height (cm)	147,6 ± 9,25
Body Weight (kg)	37,31± 7,7

The average age of the subjects was 11.05±0.94 years, their average height was 147.66±9.25 cm, their average body weight was 37.31±7.7 kg, and their body fat percentage was 17.99±3.92%.

**Tablo 2.** Anthropometric measurements

	(n=20) (Mean ± Sd.)
Arm Span (cm)	145,4± 10,1
Leg Length (cm)	69,62± 6,57
Bust Length (cm)	76,51± 3,4

In this study, the footballers' arm span was 145.4±10.1 cm, their leg length was 69.62±6.57 cm, and their bust length was 76.51±3.4 cm.

**Tablo 3.** Physiological measurements.

	(n=20) (Mean ± Sd.)
Back Strength (kg)	51,95± 12,86
Leg Strength (kg)	52,77± 14,36
Hand grip strength (kg)	17,67± 5,34

The back strength of the athletes participating in this study was 51.95±12.86 kg, leg strength was 52.77±14.36 kg, hand grip strength was 17.67±5.34 kg.

**Tablo 4.** Field measurements.

	(n=20) (Mean ± Sd.)
Vertical Jump (m)	0,31± 0,05
Anaerobic Power (kgm/sec)	46,07 ± 12,22
30m Sprint (sec)	5,74± 0,32
MaxVO <sub>2</sub> (ml/kg/min)	44,61±3,23

In the field measurements made, the subjects' vertical jump score was 0.31±0.05 m, anaerobic power value was 46.07±12.22 kgm/sec, 30m sprint score was 5.74±0.32 sec, and maxVO<sub>2</sub> value was 44.61±3.23 ml/kg/min.

#### 4. Discussion and Conclusion

The average age of the subjects participating in this study was  $11.05 \pm 0.94$  years, their average height was  $147.66 \pm 9.25$  cm, their average body weight was  $37.31 \pm 7.7$  kg, and their body fat percentage was  $17.99 \pm 3.92\%$ . In the study conducted by (Ramírez-Campillo et al., 2015), it was reported that the average values of age, height, BFP, and body weight of 16 footballers were  $11.6 \pm 1.7$  years,  $147 \pm 11.1$  cm,  $11.5 \pm 3.6\%$ , and  $45.0 \pm 9.3$  kg respectively. In another study, the height, body weight, and BFP values of 163 footballers aged 9-11 were found to be  $141.36 \pm 6.92$  cm,  $34.33 \pm 6.71$  kg, and  $16.71 \pm 5.80\%$ , respectively (Opstoel et al., 2015). The average BFP of 14 footballers aged  $12.2 \pm 0.6$  years was calculated as  $17.6 \pm 2.4$  (Michailidis et al., 2019). In another study, it was reported that the average height of 11.8±0.5 years-old soccer players was  $144.7 \pm 7.0$  cm and their average body weight was  $38.1 \pm 6.2$  kg (Figueiredo et al., 2011). The body weight of 17 footballers with an average age of  $11.12 \pm 0.86$  was  $39.59 \pm 8.07$  kg and their height was  $144.82 \pm 8.71$  cm (Göksu et al., 2018). In another study, the average height of 26 male athletes with an average age of  $11.6 \pm 0.7$  years was  $149.4 \pm 9.6$  cm, their body weight was  $38.3 \pm 8.9$  kg, and their body fat percentage was  $19.2 \pm 5.1\%$  (Malina et al., 2011). In the study conducted by Baumgart et al., (2018) in Germany, the average height value of 15 footballers aged  $11.6 \pm 0.3$  years was calculated as  $150 \pm 0.09$  cm, and the average body weight as  $39.2 \pm 9.1$  kg. The BFP of 8 children aged  $11.88 \pm 1.12$  who received football training was calculated as  $18.42 \pm 3.83\%$  (Kaya et al., 2017). In the study conducted by Pérez-López et al. (2015) in Spain, the average height, body weight, and BFP values of 147 footballers aged  $11.1 \pm 0.6$  years were  $144 \pm 7$  cm,  $37.1 \pm 6.4$  kg, and  $18.5 \pm 5.9\%$ , respectively.

The data obtained from the literature review showed that the BW, average height values of the footballers participating in this study are similar to the footballers of the same age group, while there are similarities and differences in the BFP values. The reason for this is thought to be the training level, nutritional habits or the difference in the material, and the method used in the calculations.

As seen in Table 2, the footballers' arm spans were  $145.4 \pm 10.1$  cm, their leg lengths were  $69.62 \pm 6.57$  cm, and their bust lengths were  $76.51 \pm 3.4$  cm.

Bidaurrazaga-Letona et al., (2019) reported the bust length as  $72.88 \pm 3.01$  cm and the leg length as  $76.52 \pm 3.59$  cm in 29 soccer players with an average age of  $11.41 \pm 0.29$  years. In another study, the bust length of 16 footballers was  $71.58 \pm 2.37$  cm, and the leg length was  $78.19 \pm 5.73$  cm. (Makhlouf et al., 2018). The arm span of 24 footballers with an average age of  $10.9 \pm 0.7$  was measured as  $153 \pm 0.2$  cm (Michailidis et al., 2013). Perroni et al., (2015) reported the bust length of 20 footballers aged  $11.95 \pm 0.21$  years as  $0.79 \pm 0.38$  m in their study. In a study conducted in Portugal, the bust length and leg length of 87 footballers aged 11-12 years were measured as  $73.0 \pm 3.1$  cm and  $71.7 \pm 4.5$  cm, respectively (Figueiredo et al., 2009a). In another similar study conducted by Figueiredo et al., (2009b) in Portugal, the bust length and leg length values of 54 children aged  $11.8 \pm 0.5$  years were determined as  $72.4 \pm 2.7$  cm and  $71.3 \pm 4.2$  cm, respectively. Lloyd et al., (2015) calculated the bust length of 10 footballers aged  $11.2 \pm 0.5$  years as  $72.0 \pm 2.9$  in their study in the United Kingdom. As a result of the study, the bust lengths of 38 footballers in the 11-year-old group were determined as  $69.86 \pm 4.25$  cm and their arm spans as  $139.23 \pm 8.81$  cm. In the same study, these values were found to be  $68.97 \pm 2.20$  cm and  $135.51 \pm 8.92$  cm, respectively, in 35 children aged 10 years (Polat et al., 2009). In another study, the leg length of 14 children aged  $12.3 \pm 0.7$  years was reported as  $71.7 \pm 3.9$  cm (Mendez-Villanueva et al., 2010). The average leg length of 14 children aged  $11.8 \pm 0.4$  years was calculated as  $0.85 \pm 0.03$  m (Jlid et al., 2019).

When the values obtained in the research were compared with the literature values In terms of anthropometric characteristics, it was seen that there are similarities and differences. The reason for this could be the physical characteristics of the footballers. It is known that athletes in the same age group have different physical conditions at this stage of rapid physical development.

As seen in Table 3, the athletes' back strength was  $51.95 \pm 12.86$  kg, leg strength was  $52.77 \pm 14.36$  kg, and hand grip strength was  $17.67 \pm 5.34$  kg.



Boyacı and Bıyıklı (2018) reported that the back strength of 20 footballers aged  $11.80 \pm 1.30$  was  $51.76 \pm 18.86$  kg, their leg strength was  $89.56 \pm 17.56$  kg, and the average value of right-left hand grip strength was  $22,09 \pm 5.73$  kg, and  $21.47 \pm 4.99$  kg, respectively. The leg strength value of 8 children aged  $11.88 \pm 1.12$  who received football training was determined as  $75.68 \pm 6.16$  kg (Kaya et al., 2017). In the study conducted in Belgium by Fransen et al., (2012), the hand grip strength value of 38 athlete children aged 10-12 years was calculated as  $22.8 \pm 4.0$  kg. In the same study, this value was  $18.3 \pm 3.4$  kg in 119 children aged 8-10 years. Ramírez-Vélez et al., (2017) reported the hand grip strength of  $15.3 \pm 3.6$  kg in 313 children with an average age of  $10.0 \pm 0.08$  years in their study in Colombia, while Kidokoro and Miyashita (2020) calculated the hand grip strength of 327 children aged  $11,0 \pm 1.5$  years in Japan as  $20.6 \pm 7.6$  kg. Bilgiç et al., (2016) reported the average values of back and leg strength of 94 children with an average age of  $11.79 \pm 0.41$  years as  $53.51 \pm 11.25$  kg and  $50.54 \pm 10.73$  kg, respectively. The average value of the hand grip strength was reported as  $14.7 \pm 4.0$  kg and back strength as  $53.0 \pm 9.3$  kg in 16 footballers aged 10-12 (Makhlouf et al., 2018). In another study, the leg strength of 10 athlete children aged  $13.95 \pm 18.7$  years was calculated as  $43.53 \pm 4.1$  kg and the back strength was  $38.53 \pm 4.3$  kg (Hamza 2013).

The average values of back, leg, and hand grip strength obtained in this study and the average values of similar studies in the literature are parallel.

As seen in Table 4, the vertical jump score of the subjects was  $0.31 \pm 0.05$  m. The anaerobic power value was  $46.07 \pm 12.22$  kgm/sec, 30m sprint score was  $5.74 \pm 0.32$  sec, and the maxVO2 value was  $44.61 \pm 3.23$  ml/kg/min in field measurements.

In their study, Torreblanca-Martínez et al., (2018) reported the average values of maxVO2 and 30-meter sprint in 86 footballers under the age of 11 as  $49.78 \pm 5.08$  ml/kg/min and  $5.51 \pm 0.45$  s, respectively. In the study conducted by Meckel et al., (2012), the max VO2 pre-test value of 13 footballers aged  $14.3 \pm 0.5$  years who received 7 weeks of special training was  $44.9 \pm 5.2$  ml/kg/min, and the post-test value was  $49.5 \pm 5.4$  ml/kg/min, the 30 m sprint pre-test value was  $4.82 \pm 0.23$  m, and the post-test value was  $4.74 \pm 0.22$  m. In another study, the average values of vertical jump height, anaerobic power, 30 m sprint, and maxVO2 of 32 children aged  $12.9 \pm 0.8$  years playing football were  $40.2 \pm 7.6$  cm,  $68.0 \pm 16.8$  kgm/sec,  $4.9 \pm 7.6$  sec, and  $37.3 \pm 4.2$  ml/kg/min, respectively. (Güler et al., 2010). The vertical jump value of 30 children receiving football training was determined as  $25.80 \pm 6.23$  cm and the anaerobic power value as  $43.32 \pm 13.71$  kg/m/sec (Kurban and Kaya 2017). In another study with 8 children aged  $11.88 \pm 1.12$  who received football training, the average values of vertical jump, anaerobic power, 30-meter sprint, and maxVO2 were  $27.90 \pm 2.34$  cm,  $53.93 \pm 17.87$  kgm/sec,  $5.27 \pm 0.34$  sec, and  $34.86 \pm 1.97$  ml/kg/min, respectively. (Kaya et al 2017). In another study, the vertical jump value of 15 soccer players of the toddler football team (10-12 years old) of Akdeniz University was  $21.4 \pm 4.33$  cm (Kumartaşlı et al., 2014). While Deprez et al (2015) reported the 30-m sprint value of 343 footballers aged  $11.8 \pm 0.7$  years to be  $5.11 \pm 0.24$  m, in another similar study, they calculated the sprint value of 24 footballers aged  $11.3 \pm 0.5$  years as  $5.27 \pm 0.17$  seconds. Göksu et al., (2018) measured the 30-m sprint average of 17 footballers in the 10-12 age groups as  $5.78 \pm 0.35$  seconds. Baumgart et al., (2018) reported a 30-meter sprint value of  $5.17 \pm 0.23$  s in 15 footballers aged  $11.6 \pm 0.3$  years in their study in Germany.

As a result of the literature review, while the 30-m sprint and maxVO2 values of the footballers participating in this study are similar to the footballers of the same age group, there are similarities and differences in vertical jump and anaerobic power values. The reason for this could be different physical and physiological characteristics, nutritional habits or differences in the materials and methods used in the calculations.

Physical and physiological parameters are two important characteristics that complement the performance of athletes. We recommend that children in the developmental age undergo anthropometric and physiological tests at regular intervals in order to determine the effect of training on their performance levels. However, the fact that these measurements are necessary in the regulation of the training to be applied in order to increase the performance level of children at this age survives in this study and other scientific studies. With the literature review and

professional and academic experience, various physiological and anthropometric measurements to be made in the pre-adolescent period when children develop rapidly will affect the future sports performance of these children and their place in social life.

The findings of this study will help scientists, coaches and researchers during their studies in the research to be conducted with football player children. In addition, the tests applied can be compared with the characteristics of children playing football abroad again by using different age groups. For this reason, a training prescription can be written according to the results to be obtained and it can be acted in a more planned and programmed way according to the findings obtained. As a result, this study sheds light on an important area and issue in the preparation of training prescriptions that will allow young football players in Turkey to capture the characteristics of football player children in developed countries and rise above this level.

### References

- Andrei, T. (2019). Aspects Regarding The Behavior of Football Supporters. *Annals of constantin Brancusi university of Targu-Jiu. Economy Series*, (5), 171-180.
- Baumgart, C., Freiwald, J., & Hoppe, M. W. (2018). Sprint mechanical properties of female and different aged male top-level german soccer players. *Sports*, 6(4), 161, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports6040161>
- Bidaurrazaga-Letona, I., Lekue, J. A., Amado, M., & Gil, S. M. (2019). Progression in youth soccer: selection and identification in youth soccer players aged 13–15 years. *J Strength Cond Res*, 33(9), 2548-2558. <https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0000000000001924>
- Bilgiç, M., Biçer, M., & Özdal, M. (2016). Farklı branşlarda spor yapan 11-13 yaş grubu çocukların 2d: 4d parmak oranlarının sportif performansla ilişkisinin incelenmesi. *Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1(1), 48-56.
- Bompa, T. O. (2001). Antrenman kuramı ve yöntemi, 2. Baskı. Ankara, Bağırhan Yayinevi.
- Boyacı, A., & Bıyıklı, T. (2018) Core antrenmanın fiziksel performansına etkisi: erkek futbolcular örneği. *Kilis 7 Aralık Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 2(2), 18-27.
- Deprez, D., Fransen, J., Boone, J., Lenoir, M., Philippaerts, R., & Vaeyens R. (2015). Characteristics of high-level youth soccer players: variation by playing position. *J Sports Sci*, 33(3), 243-254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.934707>
- Durnin, J. V., & Womersley, J. V. G. A. (1974). Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72 years. *Br Nutr*, 32(1), 77-97. <https://doi.org/10.1079/bjn19740060>
- Figueiredo, A. J., Coelho E Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2011). Predictors of functional capacity and skill in youth soccer players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*, 21 (3), 446-454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01056.x>
- Figueiredo, A. J., Gonçalves, C. E., Coelho E Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2009a). Youth soccer players, 11–14 years: maturity, size, function, skill and goal orientation. *Ann Hum Biol*, 36(1), 60-73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03014460802570584>
- Figueiredo, A. J., Gonçalves, C. E., Coelho e Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2009b). Characteristics of youth soccer players who drop out, persist or move up. *J Sports Sci*, 27(9), 883-891. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410902946469>
- Fox, E. L., Bowers, R. W., & Foss, M. L. (1989). The physiological basis of physical education and athletics. William C Brown Pub.
- Fransen, J., Pion, J., Vandendriessche, J., Vandorpe, B., Vaeyens, R., Lenoir, M., & Philippaerts, R. M. (2012). Differences in physical fitness and gross motor coordination in boys aged 6–12 years specializing in one versus sampling more than one sport. *J Sports Sci*, 30(4), 379-386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2011.642808>
- Gjonbalaj, M., Georgiev, G., & Bjelica, D. (2018). Differences in anthropometric characteristics, somatotype components, and functional abilities among young elite kosova soccer players based

- on the team position. *Int J Morphol*, 36(1), 41-47. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-95022018000100041>
- Gontarev, S., Kalac, R., Zivkovic, V., Ameti, V., & Redjepi, A. (2016). Anthropometrical characteristics and somatotype of young macedonian soccer players. *Int J Morphol*, 34(1), 160-167. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-95022016000100024>
- Göksu, Ö. C., Yüksek, S., & Ölmez, C. (2018). The Investigation of the motor skills of "u" categories soccer players who have recreative involvement in other sports. *J Educ Train Stud*, 6(2), 10-17. <https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v6i2.2824>
- Güler, D., Kayapınar, F., Pepe, K., & Yaçınar, M. (2010). Futbol şampiyonasına katılan çocukların fiziksel, fizyolojik, teknik özellikleri ve performanslarını etkileyen faktörler. *Genel Tıp Derg*, 20(2), 43-49.
- Hamza, A. M. R. (2013). Effects of circular strength training system on bone mineral density and kicks performance for young soccer players. *ovidius university annals, series physical education and sport/science. Movement & Health*, 13, 137-143
- Jlid, M. C., Racil, G., Coquart, J., Paillard T., Bisciotti, G. N., & Chamari, K. (2019). Multidirectional plyometric training: very efficient way to improve vertical jump performance, change of direction performance and dynamic postural control in young soccer players. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 10, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01462>
- Jones, S., & Drust, B. (2007). Physiological and technical demands of 4 v 4 and 8 v 8 games in elite youth soccer players. *Kinesiology*, 39(2), 150-156.
- Kaya, M., Genç, H., & Müniroglu, S. (2017). Comparison on effects of various exercise methods in football on children's some physical, physiological and technical capacity. *The Anthropologist*, 27(1-3), 37-43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720073.2017.1311686>
- Kidokoro, T., & Miyashita M. (2020). Combined associations of cardiorespiratory fitness and grip strength with non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations among japanese children and adolescents. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*, 9(3), 135-142. <https://doi.org/10.7600/jpfsm.9.135>
- Kumartaşlı M., Topuz R., & Dağdelen S. (2014). 10-12 yaş grubu futbolcuların motorik performansının değerlendirilmesi. *Int J Sci Culture and Sport*, 101-113. <https://doi.org/10.7600/jpfsm.9.135>
- Kurban, M., & Kaya, Y. (2017). Futbol temel teknik antrenmanlarının 10-13 yaş grubu çocukların bazı motorik ve teknik yetenek gelişimlerine etkisinin araştırılması. *J Sports Perform Res*, 8(3), 210-221. <https://doi.org/10.17155/omuspd.283276>
- Lloyd, R. S., Oliver, J. L., Radnor, J. M., Rhodes B. C., Faigenbaum A. D., & Myer G. D. (2015). Relationships between functional movement screen scores, maturation and physical performance in young soccer players. *J Sports Sci*, 33(1), 11-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.918642>
- Makhlouf, I., Chaouachi, A., Chaouachi M., Ben Othman, A., Granacher, U., & Behm, D. G. (2018). Combination of agility and plyometric training provides similar training benefits as combined balance and plyometric training in young soccer players. *Front Physiol*, 9, 1611, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01611>
- Malina, R., Rożek, K., Ignasiak, Z., Sławińska, T., Fugiel, J., Kochan, K., & Domaradzki, J. (2011). Growth and functional characteristics of male athletes 11-15 years of age. *Human movement*, 12(2), 180-187. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10038-011-0017-7>
- Meckel, Y., Gefen Y., Nemet D., & Eliakim A. (2012). Influence of short vs. long repetition sprint training on selected fitness components in young soccer players. *J Strength Cond. Res*, 26(7), 1845-1851. <https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0b013e318236d0f0>
- Mendez-Villanueva, A., Buchheit M., Kuitunen S., Poon TK., Simpson B., & Peltola E. (2010). Is the Relationship between sprinting and maximal aerobic speeds in young soccer players affected by maturation?. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*, 22(4), 497-510. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.22.4.497>
- Michailidis, Y., Fatouros, I. G., Primpab, E., Michailidis, C., Avloniti, A., Chatzinikolaou, A., & Leontsini, D. (2013). Plyometrics' trainability in preadolescent soccer athletes. *J Strength Cond Res*, 27(1), 38-49. <https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0b013e3182541ec6>



- Michailidis, Y., Tabouris, A., & Metaxas, T. (2019). Effects of plyometric and directional training on physical fitness parameters in youth soccer players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 14(3), 392-398. [https:// 10.1123/ijsp.2018-0545](https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2018-0545)
- Moncef, C., Said, M., Olfa, N., Dagbaji, G. (2012). Influence of morphological characteristics on physical and physiological performances of tunisian elite male handball players. *Asian Journal of Sports Medicine*, 3(2), 74-80.
- Opstoel, K., Pion J., Elferink-Gemser, M., Hartman, E., Willemse, B., Philippaerts, R., & Lenoir, M. (2015). Anthropometric characteristics, physical fitness and motor coordination of 9 to 11 year old children participating in a wide range of sports. *PloS One*, 10(5), 1-16. [https:// 10.1371/journal.pone.0126282](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126282)
- Pérez-López, A., Sinovas, M. C., Álvarez-Valverde, I., & Valades, D. (2015). Relationship between body composition and vertical jump performance in young spanish soccer players. *J Sport Human Perf*, 3(3), 1-12. [https:// 10.12922/jshp.0063.2015](https://doi.org/10.12922/jshp.0063.2015)
- Perroni, F., Vetrano, M., Camolese, G., Guidetti, L., & Baldari, C. (2015). Anthropometric and somatotype characteristics of young soccer players: Differences among categories, subcategories, and playing position. *J Strength Cond Res*, 29(8), 2097-2104. [https:// 10.1519/jsc.0b013e3182541ec6](https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.0b013e3182541ec6)
- Polat, Y., Çınar, V., & Şahin, M. (2009). futbolcu çocukların antropometrik özellikleri ile somototiplerinin incelenmesi. *e-Journal of New World Sciences Academy*, 4(4), 256-265.
- Ramírez-Campillo, R., Burgos, C. H., Henríquez-Olguín, C., Andrade, D. C., Martínez, C., Álvarez, C., & Izquierdo, M. (2015). Effect of unilateral, bilateral, and combined plyometric training on explosive and endurance performance of young soccer players. *The J Strength Cond Res*, 29(5), 1317-1328. [https:// 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000762](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000000762)
- Ramírez-Vélez, R., Peña-Ibagon, J. C., Martínez-Torres, J., Tordecilla-Sanders, A., Correa-Bautista, J. E., Lobelo, F., & García-Hermoso, A. (2017). Handgrip strength cutoff for cardiometabolic risk index among Colombian children and adolescents: The FUPRECOL Study. *Scientific reports*, 1-7. [https:// 10.1038/srep42622](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42622)
- Revan, S. (2003). Konya ili 1. amatör ligde mücadele eden futbolcuların oynadıkları mevkilerine göre bazı antropometrik ve fizyolojik parametrelerinin karşılaştırılması. *Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Selçuk Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Konya.*
- Rookwood, J. (2020). The politics of conifa: organising and managing international football events for unrecognised countries. *Manag Sport Leis*, 25, (1-2), 6-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23750472.2019.1645616>
- Siri, WE. (1961). Body composition from fluid space and density. In J. Brozek., A Hanschel. *Techniques for Measuring Body Composition* Washington DC: National Academy of Science, 223-244.
- Sporis, G., Jukic, I., Ostojic, S. M., & Milanovic, D. (2009). Fitness profiling in soccer: physical and physiologic characteristics of elite players. *J Strength Cond Res*, 23(7), 1947-1953. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b3e141>
- Stølen, T., Chamari, K., Castagna, C., & Wisløff, U. (2005). Physiology of soccer. *Sports medicine*, 35(6), 501-536.
- Thaller, P. H., Fürmetz, J., Chen, F., Degen, N., Manz, K. M., & Wolf, F. (2018). Bowlegs and intensive football training in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*, 115, 401-408. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2018.0401>
- Torreblanca-Martínez, V., Arráez, A., Otero-Saborido, F. M., & González-Jurado, J. A. (2018). Características antropométricas y de rendimiento físico en futbolistas sub-11 según el nivel competitivo (anthropometric and fitness performance characteristics in under-11 football players by competitive level). *Retos: Nuevas Tendencias en Educación Física, deporte y recreación*, 34, 146-149. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v0i34.59638>