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| **In this study, it is aimed to determine the level of organizational commitment of academicians working in Department of Physical Education and Sports and identify its relationship with the intention to cease of employment. Organizational Commitment Scale, consisting of 18 questions, developed by Meyer and Allen (1997) and Scale of Intentions to Leave developed by Rosin and Korabik (1995) have been utilized on 143 academicians chosen via random sampling method in this relational screening model research. The result of the research has shown that sub-dimension of Emotional Commitment of academicians’ constitutes the core element and intention to leave is low. Variables of gender and marital status are found to have no effect on the relationship between organizational commitment and intention to leave. On the other hand, academicians with an administrative role display a meaningful difference. In regards to the correlation results within the scales, there is a negative and mid level relationship between emotional commitment level and intention to leave, and a negative and low-level relationship between the level of normative commitment and case of employment.** **Keywords:** Organizational Commitment, Turnover Intentions, Academicians, Organization |

**Introduction**

Developments like alteration, globalization, advanced information technologies and changing dimension of competition concept require that the factors of management and human are taken up at different rates (Özdemir and Yaylı, 2014). In this respect, human factor has recently become a value rather than an inter-organizational human resource. In management science, the concept of organizational commitment to which importance is attributed to an organizational behavior type has become and becomes a source for a lot of researchers as one of the most important topics of organizational behavior and organizational psychology.

Organizational commitment means the strength of relationships that employees feel for the organization where they work. It is believed that the feeling of organizational commitment affects organizational performance positively. In addition to this, like universities it is necessary for the foundations which aim for producing qualified output to incorporate qualified labor force to their structure, keep them in the organization, increase “positive feelings” that an individual feels for his/her job and take maximum performance from them (Ermiş, 2014).

In the researches of management science, it is generally approved that the components of organizational commitment have effects on a lot of organizational behavior and attitude both separately and also interactively. Foremost among the variables related to organizational commitment is the turnover intention (Allen and Meyer, 1991).

From this point of view; in this study, organizational commitment levels and turnover intentions of academicians working in the schools of physical education and sports at universities and the relationship between them will be examined.

**Organizational Commitment**

Organizational commitment is defined as an individual’s belief of aims and values of an organization, willingness to make an endeavor for reaching the purposes of the organization and desire for staying as a member of the organization. In first studies related to organizational commitment, it is defined as adopting aims and values of the organization, making an effort as an organization member and feeling as a member of a strong family (Swailes, 2002).

Additionally, Vanderberg and Scarpella define organizational commitment as “believing aims and value judgments of the corporation, accepting them (bureaucratic structure of the corporation, loyalty to corporation, norms and regulations) and volunteering for struggling on behalf of corporation” (cited in Çetin, 2004). As one of the leading researchers with respect to organizational commitment, Porter and Steers (1974: 603) define the relation in the organization as individual’s expressing himself with organization and getting involved in a force or an organization that can be integrated with the presence of the individual.

**Organizational commitment dimensions**

Organizational commitment has been examined in different dimensions by researchers. When the studies related to organizational commitment in the corresponding literature have been examined, it is understood that “Three-Component Model of Organizational Commitment” based on the studies of Meyer and Allen (1990) is generally accepted (Bağcı and Bursalı, 2011). These components are Emotional Commitment, Continuance Commitment and Normative Commitment.

***Emotional commitment*** includes employee’s identifying himself with the organization and attending to the organization. The employees whose emotional commitments are strong feel desire to stay in the organization in accordance with their requests emotionally. The employee begins to see himself as a part of the organization. Employee’s acceptance of the aims and values of the organization is effective on the occurrence of the emotional commitment (Somuncu, 2008).

***Continuance commitment*** is the one that occurs with the thought of losing gains like money, abilities related to the job, seniority, social security, pension right that employees achieve or will achieve in exchange for the labor and time that they spend within the period of continuing to the organization as a result of leaving the organization.

***Normative (moral) commitment*** is an indicator of employees’ feelings of obligation related to staying in the organization. It is an obligation that the employee adheres to the organization in return for all the expenses done for their education and future as from the employment process of the employee (Özdemir and Yaylı, 2014).

**Turnover intention**

Turnover intention is one of the subjects among organizations. Especially the turnover intention of qualified personals working in the jobs that require high and expensive education places a burden like training cost, losing talent and improvement cost to that workplace. For this reason, turnover intention is one of the most important subjects among organizations (Solmaz, 2010). Turnover intention is the conscious and deliberate decision or intention of the employees about leaving the organization (Barlett, 1999).

 **Methodology**

This part includes information about the research model, study group, data collection tools and analysis of the data.

 **Research model**

In this research, it is aimed to examine the relationships between organizational commitment levels and turnover intentions of academicians working in the schools of physical education and sports of state universities. In this regard, this research has been conducted with a correlational research model that is involved in quantitative research methods. Within the scope of this research, it is examined whether academicians’ organizational commitment levels and their turnover intentions show significant differences according to some demographic features (gender, marital status, term of employment, availability of administrative role). In the difference tests calculated in order to make these comparisons, organizational commitment and turnover intention are determined as a dependent variable; demographic features are determined as determined as an independent variable.

**Participants**

This study has been carried out with 143 academicians who work as a lecturer or a teaching assistant in the schools of physical education and sports at state universities in Turkey.

Demographic features of the academicians whose opinions are received within the scope of this study are shown in Table-1.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variables**  | **Categories**  | **n** | **%** |
| **Gender** | Female | 33 | 23,1 |
| Male | 110 | 76,9 |
| **Marital Status** | Married | 106 | 74,1 |
| Single | 37 | 25,9 |
| **Term of employment** | 1-2 years | 23 | 16,1 |
| 3-8 years | 37 | 25,9 |
| 9-14 years | 37 | 25,9 |
| 15 years and above | 46 | 32,2 |
| **Availability of an administrative role** | Yes | 46 | 32,2 |
| No | 97 | 67,8 |

**Data collection tools**

In this study, “Individual Information Form” is used to determine demographic features of the academicians working in the schools of physical education and sports at state universities, “Organizational Commitment Scale” is used to determine their organizational commitment levels and “Turnover Intention Scale” is used to determine their turnover intentions.

**Individual information form**

An individual information form including information about academicians’gender, marital status, term of employment and availability of an administrative role has been prepared. In this information form, categories have been constituted for each variable and participants are asked for marking appropriate categories. The individual information form has been placed prior to the scales.

 **Organizational commitment scale**

The “Organizational Commitment Scale” developed by Meyer and Allen (1996) has been used by a lot of researchers abroad (Dunham, Grube and Casteneda, 1994; Hackett, Bycio and Hausdorf, 1994). In this scale adapted to Turkish by Varol (2010), there are 18 items collected in three dimensions totally. The items have been graded in five point likert type.

That the items take part in three dimensions has been determined both in the original scale and also during the adaptation process. These dimensions are emotional commitment, continuance commitment and normative commitment. There are six items in each sub-dimension. While one of the items (I13) is composed of negative statements, other items have positive quality. The negative item is implicated in the analysis by reversing. In each sub-dimension, high scores show that individuals have high commitment levels and low scores indicate that individuals have low commitment levels.

Confirmatory factor analysis has been evaluated in the validity and reliability study of Varol (2010), and it has been identified that conformity indexes which are calculated in order to determine the model-data fit of 18-item and three-factor model are X2/df=2,3; CFI=0,90; TLI=0,89 and RMSEA=0,08. It is determined that Cronbach’s alpha coefficients calculated to identify the reliability of the answers given to the items of scale are also acceptable in other studies. For example, Güner (2007) states that internal consistency coefficient is 0,62 for emotional commitment, 0,71 for continuance commitment and 0,72 for normative commitment.

Within the context of this research, the opinions of the academicians working in the schools of physical education and sports of state universities have been received. Confirmatory factor analysis has been calculated in order to determine whether the answers of these academicians confirm three-dimensional model in the organizational commitment scale. Path diagram established following the calculation is seen in Figure-1.



Figure-1: Path diagram established for the organizational commitment scale

As seen in the standardized coefficients in Figure-1, it is confirmed that all the items have significant explanations in all dimensions; in other words, the items have significant t-scores. When the calculated conformity indexes are examined, it is determined that X2/sd=3,42; RMSEA=0,131; CFI=0,90; IFI=0,90; NFI=0,90; NNFI=0,89 and the model is generally confirmed.

Cronbach’s alpha coefficient has been calculated to determine the reliability of answers given by participants to organizational commitment scale. Accordingly, it is calculated as 0,925 for emotional commitment, 0,678 for continuance commitment and 0,714 for normative commitment. It is confirmed that the academicians whose opinions have been received within the scope of this research give valid and reliable answers to organizational commitment scale items.

**Turnover intention scale**

In order to determine the turnover intentions of academicians whose opinions have been received within the scope of this research, “Turnover Intention Scale” developed by Rosin and Korabick (1995) and adapted to Turkish by Tanrıöver (2005) has been used. Four items in the scale have been graded as five-point likert scale. High scores gotten from this scale show turnover intentions of these individuals are high, and low scores show their turnover intentions are low. During the process of adaptation, Tanrıöver (2005) has calculated Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for the items of turnover intention scale as 0,930.

In this research, validity and reliability study has been carried out in accordance with the answers given by academicians to the items of turnover intention scale. Firstly, confirmatory factor analysis related to four-item and one-dimensional scale model of the academicians’ answers has been evaluated. The established path diagram is seen in Figure-2.



Figure-2: Path diagram established for turnover intention scale

In accordance with the answers given by academicians attending to this research to the items of turnover intention scale, it is determined that the items are collected in one dimension and all the items have significant explanation coefficients. When the calculated conformity indexes are examined, it is confirmed that X2/sd=1,30; RMSEA=0,046; CFI=0,99; IFI=0,99; NFI=0,99; NNFI=0,99 and model-data conformity is provided excellently.

In order to determine the reliability of answers given by academicians working at state universities to the items of scale, Cronbach’s alpha coefficient is calculated as 0,853.

It is also seen that physical education and sports academicians whose opinions have been received within the scope of this research give valid and reliable answers to the items of turnover intention scale.

**Data analysis**

With relation to the organizational commitment and turnover intention levels of the academicians, descriptive statistics have firstly been calculated. And then, t-test in unrelated measurement towards organizational commitment and turnover intention has been evaluated according to the academicians’ gender, marital status and availability of administrative role. On the basis of comparing organizational commitment levels according to the academicians’ terms of employment, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) has been calculated. Because variances are not homogeneous, Kruskal Wallis test has been evaluated by looking at the differences between their turnover intentions according to their terms of employment.

To determine the relationships between organizational commitment levels and turnover intentions of the academicians, Pearson correlation coefficient has been evaluated. p significance level in difference tests are taken as 0,05.

 **Findings**

* **Descriptive Statistics**

**Table-2 Calculated descriptive statistics related to the organizational commitment and turnover intentions of the academicians**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scale** | **Number of items** | **N** | **Lowest** | **Highest** | $$\overbar{X }$$ | **SS** |
| Emotional commitment | 6 | 143 | 6,00 | 30,00 | 23,49 | 5,73 |
| Continuance commitment | 6 | 143 | 6,00 | 26,00 | 13,77 | 4,89 |
| Normative commitment | 6 | 143 | 6,00 | 29,00 | 19,66 | 5,03 |
| Turnover intention | 4 | 143 | 4,00 | 20,00 | 7,85 | 4,13 |

In accordance with the information in Table-2, it is stated that emotional commitments of the academicians are at a level of %78 (=23,49), their continuance commitments are at a level of %46 (=13,77) and their normative commitments are %66 (=19,66). It is also identified that turnover intention scores of the participants show difference between 4,00 and 20,00 and the average is calculated as =7,85; that is to say, their turnover intentions are at a level of %39

* **Difference statistics**

**Table-3 T-test results in unrelated measurements related to the academicians’ organizational commitments and turnover intentions according to their genders**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scale**  | **Gender**  | **N** | $$\overbar{X}$$ | **SS** | **t** | **p**  |
| Emotional commitment | **Female**  | 33 | 22,82 | 5,67 | 0,766 | 0,445 |
| **Male**  | 110 | 23,69 | 5,76 |
| Continuance commitment | **Female**  | 33 | 13,36 | 5,28 | 0,542 | 0,589 |
| **Male**  | 110 | 13,89 | 4,78 |
| Normative commitment | **Female**  | 33 | 19,45 | 4,64 | 0,272 | 0,786 |
| **Male**  | 110 | 19,73 | 5,16 |
| Turnover intention | **Female**  | 33 | 8,39 | 4,79 | 0,867 | 0,387 |
| **Male**  | 110 | 7,68 | 3,93 |

When we look at Table-3, it is seen that physical education and sports academicians’ emotional commitment (t(141)=0,766; p>0,05), continuance commitment (t(141)=0,542; p>0,05), normative commitment (t(141)=0,272; p>0,05) and their turnover intentions (t(141)=0,867; p>0,05) do not show a significant difference according to their genders. That is to say, organizational commitment and turnover intentions of female and male academicians show similarity.

**Table-4 T-test results in unrelated measurements related to the academicians’ organizational commitments and turnover intentions according to their marital status**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scale** | **Marital Status** | **N** | $$\overbar{X}$$ | **SS** | **t** | **p**  |
| Emotional commitment | **Married** | 106 | 23,64 | 6,01 | 0,535 | 0,593 |
| **Single**  | 37 | 23,05 | 4,89 |
| Continuance commitment | **Married**  | 106 | 13,49 | 4,98 | 1,155 | 0,250 |
| **Single**  | 37 | 14,57 | 4,59 |
| Normative commitment | **Married**  | 106 | 19,36 | 4,84 | 1,234 | 0,219 |
| **Single**  | 37 | 20,54 | 5,51 |
| Turnover intention | **Married**  | 106 | 7,70 | 3,87 | 0,724 | 0,470 |
| **Single**  | 37 | 8,27 | 4,85 |

When the data in Table-3 are examined, it is seen that emotional commitment of the academicians attending to this research (t(141)=0,535; p>0,05), continuance commitment (t(141)=1,155; p>0,05), normative commitment (t(141)=1,234; p>0,05) and their turnover intentions (t(141)=0,724; p>0,05) do not show a significant difference according to their marital status.

It is aimed to determine whether the organizational commitment and turnover intentions of physical education and sports academicians show any significant difference according to their terms of employment. In this direction, firstly homogeneity of variances has been tested by Levene. As a result of Levene test, it is observed that variances are homogeneous for organizational commitment level and they are not homogeneous for turnover intention.

Accordingly, to determine whether academicians’ organizational commitment levels show any significant difference according to their terms of employment, one-way analysis of variance has been evaluated and the results are seen in Table-5.

**Table-5 Results of one-way analysis of variance** (**One-Way ANOVA) related to the academicians’ organizational commitment levels according to their terms of employment**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scale**  | **Source** **of variance**  |  **Sum**  **of squares** |  **sd** |  **Average**  **of squares** |  **F** |  **p** |
| Emotional commitment | **Inter-group** | 238,521 | 3 | 79,507 | 2,495 | ,062 |
| **In-group** | 4429,213 | 139 | 31,865 |
| **Total** | 4667,734 | 142 |
| Continuance commitment | **Inter-group** | 38,151 | 3 | 12,717 | ,527 | ,665 |
| **In-group** | 3335,234 | 139 | 24,138 |
| **Total** | 3393,385 | 142 |
| Normative commitment | **Inter-group** | 107,815 | 3 | 35,938 | 1,435 | ,235 |
| **In-group** | 3480,073 | 139 | 25,036 |
| **Total** | 3587,888 | 142 |

When we look at Table-5, it is seen that score averages taken from the organizational commitment scale are similar according to the academicians’ terms of employment and it does not create any significant difference.

So as to determine whether academicians’ turnover intentions show any significant difference according to their terms of employment, Kruskal Wallis test has been evaluated and the results are seen in Table-6.

**Table-6 Kruskal Wallis test results related to the academicians’ turnover intentions according to their terms of employment**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scale**  | **Term of employment**  | **N** | **Mean rank** | **sd** | $$X^{2}$$ | **p** |
| Turnover intention | 1-2 years | 23 | 80,61 | 3 | 1,690 | 0,639 |
| 3-8 years | 23 | 71,11 |
| 9-14 years | 37 | 66,61 |
| 15 years and above | 46 | 72,75 |

In accordance with the data in Table-6, it is stated that academicians’ turnover intentions do not show any significant difference according to their terms of employment ($X^{2}$(3)=1,690; p>0,05). In other words, it is confirmed that academicians’ turnover intentions are similar even if their terms of employment are different.

**Table-7 T-test results in unrelated measurements related to the academicians’ organizational commitment and turnover intentions according to availability of administrative role**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Scale** | **Administrative role** | **N** | $$\overbar{X}$$ | **SS** | **t** | **p**  |
| Emotional commitment | **Yes**  | 46 | 23,48 | 6,90 | 0,016 | 0,987 |
| **No**  | 97 | 23,49 | 5,13 |
| Continuance commitment | **Yes**  | 46 | 12,30 | 3,91 | 2,513 | 0,013 |
| **No**  | 97 | 14,46 | 5,16 |
| Normative commitment | **Yes**  | 46 | 18,87 | 5,23 | 1,305 | 0,194 |
| **No**  | 97 | 20,04 | 4,91 |
| Turnover intention | **Yes**  | 46 | 8,13 | 4,05 | 0,565 | 0,573 |
| **No**  | 97 | 7,71 | 4,19 |

In accordance with the data in Table-7, it is determined that academicians’ emotional commitment (t(141)=0,016; p>0,05), normative commitment (t(141)=1,305; p>0,05) and turnover intentions (t(141)=0,565; p>0,05) do not show any significant difference according to the availability of administrative role. In addition to this, it is stated that academicians’ continuance commitments show a significant difference (t(141)=2,513; p<0,05) according to their administrative roles. When the average scores are examined, academicians who have an administrative role ($\overbar{X}$=14,46±5,16) have higher compulsory attendance when compared with the academicians who do not have any administrative roles ($\overbar{X}$=12,30±3,91).

* **Relationship statistics**

Pearson correlation coefficient has been calculated with the purpose of determining the relationships between organizational commitment and turnover intentions of the academicians whose opinions have been received within the scope of this research.

**Table- 8 Relationships between organizational commitment and turnover intentions of the academicians**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable/Values | Emotionalcommitment | Continuance commitment | Normative commitment | Turnover intention |
| Emotional commitment | R | 1 | -,028 | ,583\*\* | -,625\*\* |
| P |  | ,737 | ,000 | ,000 |
| N |  | 143 | 143 | 143 |
| Continuance commitment | R |  | 1 | ,248\*\* | ,140 |
| P |  |  | ,003 | ,095 |
| N |  |  | 143 | 143 |
| Normative commitment | R |  |  | 1 | -,393\*\* |
| P |  |  |  | ,000 |
| N |  |  |  | 143 |
| Turnover intention | R |  |  |  | 1 |
| P |  |  |  |  |
| N |  |  |  |  |

\*\*p<0,01

When Table-8 is examined, it is seen that there is a negative and medium-level significant relationship between emotional commitment levels and turnover intentions of the academicians (r=-0,625; p<0,05). In other words, it is stated that turnover intentions of the academicians show decrease as their emotional commitments towards their organizations show increase. It is also identified that there is not any significant relationship between organizational commitments and turnover intentions of the academicians (r=0,140; p>0,05); on the other hand, there is a negative and low-level significant relationship between their normative commitment levels and turnover intention levels (r=-0,393; p<0,05). That is to say, turnover intentions of the academicians show decrease as their normative commitments show increase.

It is observed that there is a positive and medium-level significant relationship between emotional commitments and normative commitments of the academicians (r=0,583; p<0,05). Normative commitments of the academicians are in the tendency to show increase as their emotional commitment increase. It is also determined that there is a positive and low-level relationship between their normative and continuance commitments (r=0,248; p<0,05). Besides, there is not any significant relationship between normative and continuance commitments of the academicians (r=-0,028; p>0,05).

**Discussion**

In the light of these data, it is stated that academicians’ average scores related to the “Emotional Commitment” sub-dimension are at a level of %78 (=23,49) and their normative commitments are at a high level with %66 (=19,66); the scores of continuance commitments with %46 (=13,77) and turnover intention with %39 (=7,85) are at a low level (Table-2).

In this research, that the academicians’ emotional commitments are high can be expressed that they see their works not only as a job but also as a life style. Besides, low scores of turnover intentions can be derived from that they want to stay in the same university at the rest of their careers and they see themselves as a part of the university.

When the related literature is investigated, it is seen in the study of Ermiş (2014) that average scores (=3,9) related to the sub-dimension “Emotional Commitment” of the academicians working in the school of physical education and sports take place in the first rank and “Continuance Commitment” are at a low level with (=2,3). Aydemir and Ersan (2011) identify emotional commitment of the academic and administrative staff working in the new-established universities as (=3,5), their normative commitment as (=3,2) and their continuance commitment as (=2,9). In a study carried out by Boylu et al. at Gazi University, emotional commitments of academicians take place in the first rank with a rate (=3,65) while their continuance commitments take place in the last rank with a rate (=2,63).

In this context, it can be said that among organizational commitments, academicians’ senses which are mostly related to the emotional commitment are high and this finding obtained from the research is in concordance with the literature.

As a result of the analysis, any difference between organizational commitment and turnover intentions of the academicians attending to the research has been found according to the variables like their genders, marital status and terms of employment (Table 3, 4, 5, 6).

For the research results, as related to the factors affecting organizational commitment Oliver (1990) states that the effects of demographic factors on organizational commitment are relatively less, and organizational prizes and business assets have stronger relationship with organizational commitment.

This finding shows parallelism with the results of the studies done on academicians (Ermiş, 2014; Boylu et al., 2007) and employees working at different jobs (Ellemers et al., 2004; Kennedy and Anderson, 2005; İnce and Gül, 2005; Belli and Ekici 2012; Seyhan, 2014).

It is determined that academicians included in the research do not show any difference on the basis of their emotional commitment (t(141)=0,016; p>0,05), normative commitment (t(141)=1,305; p>0,05) and turnover intentions (t(141)=0,565; p>0,05) according to the availability of administrative role. In addition to this, it is detected that academicians’ continuance commitments show a significant difference according to administrative role (t(141)=2,513; p<0,05). Academicians who do not have any administrative roles have higher level of compulsory attendance (Table-7).

According to the correlation between turnover intention and organizational commitment constituents of the research group, it is stated that there is a medium-level and negative (r=-0,625; p<0,05) relationship between emotional commitment levels and turnover intentions and a low-level and negative (r=-0,393; p<0,05) relationship between normative commitment levels and turnover intentions (Table-8). In other words, turnover intentions of the academicians show decrease as their emotional and normative commitments show increase.

According to the conducted researches, organizational commitment has a positive relationship with job satisfaction and productivity, and it decreases lack of continuity and leaving (Lingard and Lin, 2004: 410; Sökmen and Şimşek, 2016; Uştu and Tümkaya, 2017). Meyer and Allen (1997) emphasize that employees whose organizational commitments are high are seen as the employees that are more precious for organizations and that should be kept in the organization. On the other hand, according to Balay (2000), depending on the organizational commitment behavioral results have strong relationships with commitment. Among them, especially job satisfaction, motivation, attendance and wish of staying in the organization have positive relationship with organizational commitment while they have negative relationship with job switches and turnover intention.

When the related literature is examined, some studies supporting the research results are seen. For instance, according to Özdemir and Yaylı (2014), there is an opposite (negative) and high relationship (r=,-709) between emotional commitment dimension and turnover intention dimension and an opposite (negative) and low relationship (r=,-436) with normative commitment dimension. Chang et al. (2007) have found that normative commitment has a negative effect on organizational turnover intention and emotional commitment has a negative effect on vocational turnover intention. In a study of Das et al. (2013), they inferred that there is a negative relationship between organizational commitment and turnover intention.
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